arXiv:1702.02843v2 [gr-qc] 22 Feb 2017

LINEAR STABILITY OF SCHWARZSCHILD SPACETIME: THE CAUCHY PROBLEM OF METRIC COEFFICIENTS

PEI-KEN HUNG, JORDAN KELLER, AND MU-TAO WANG

Abstract. In this paper, we study the theory of linearized gravity and prove the linear stability of Schwarzschild black holes as solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations. In particular, we prove that solutions to the linearized vacuum Einstein equations centered at a Schwarzschild metric, with suitably regular initial data, remain uniformly bounded and decay to a linearized Kerr metric on the exterior region. Our method employs Hodge decomposition to split the solution into closed and co-closed portions, respectively identified with even-parity and odd-parity solutions in the physics literature. For the co-closed portion, we extend previous results of the first two authors, deriving Regge-Wheeler type equations for two gauge-invariant quantities without the earlier paper's need of axisymmetry. For the closed portion, we build upon earlier work of Zerilli and Moncrief, wherein the authors derive a master equation for a gauge-invariant quantity in a spherical harmonic decomposition. We work with gauge-invariant quantities at the level of perturbed connection coefficients, with the initial value problem formulated on Cauchy data sets. With the choice of an appropriate gauge in each of the two portions, decay estimates on these decoupled quantities are used to establish decay of the metric coefficients of the solution, completing the proof of linear stability. Our approach complements Dafermos-Holzegel-Rodnianski's in [6], where the linear stability of Schwarzschild is established for characteristic initial data sets.

1. Introduction

The Schwarzschild solution of the vacuum Einstein equation in general relativity is the unique static solution that represents an isolated gravitating system of a single black hole. Studies, both theoretically and experimentally, of such a system are modeled on the Schwarzschild solution and its perturbation. The stability of the Schwarzschild solution is thus of utmost importance. More than two decades after the celebrated work of nonlinear stability of Minkowski space by Christodoulou and Klainerman [5], the nonlinear stability of Schwarzschild remains open. This paper addresses the linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution, which has a long history and rich

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Numbers DMS 1405152 (Mu-Tao Wang). The authors would like to thank the National Center for Theoretical Sciences of National Taiwan University, where this research was initiated, for their warm hospitality. In addition, we thank Professors Simon Brendle, Sergiu Klainerman, and Ye-Kai Wang for their interests in this work.

literature involving the works of both physicists and mathematicians, and culminating in the recent breakthrough of Dafermos-Holzegel-Rodnianski [6]. This paper provides a different and simpler proof that reveals the underlying geometric structure of the vacuum Einstein equation at a more elementary level.

The question of linear stability is formulated in the following way. Consider the vacuum Einstein equation $G(g) \equiv Ric(g) - \frac{1}{2}R(g)g = 0$, of which the Schwarzschild metric g_0 is solution. Let δg be a solution of the linearization of the vacuum Einstein equation at Schwarzschild:

$$\delta G|_{g_0}(\delta g) = 0. \tag{1}$$

δg is a smooth symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor on the background Schwarzschild spacetime. Since the Einstein equation is invariant under diffeomorphisms of the spacetime, infinitesimally any smooth co-vector field X generates another solution $\delta g + \pi_X$ of (1). Here π_X is the deformation tensor of X; see Lemma 9. On the other hand, the Schwarzschild solution lies in the larger family of Kerr solutions and there are solution of (1) that correspond to Kerr perturbations. To prove the linear stability amounts to:

Firstly, formulate (1) as the initial value problem of a linear hyperbolic system of PDE's of components of δg under a suitable gauge condition. Secondly, prove that the linearized metric coefficients of δg, after being normalized by the gauge condition, decay through a suitable foliation to a Kerr perturbation under appropriate initial conditions.

There are two main approaches to the perturbation problem:

1. Perturbation of metric coefficients. This was initiated by the seminal work of Regge-Wheeler [23]. It was shown that (1) under a suitable gauge decouples into even-parity and odd-parity perturbations, which correspond to axial and polar perturbations in Chandrasekhar [4]. Originally, Regge-Wheeler [23] discovered the master Regge-Wheeler equation in the axial or odd-parity case; more than a decade later, Zerilli [31] derived the eponymous equation in the polar or even-parity case. Later work by Moncrief [20] phrased the decoupling in terms of a gauge-invariant, connection-level quantity, which we refer to as the Zerilli-Moncrief function. See also [18, 26].

2. Perturbation in Newman-Penrose (N-P) formalism. In particular, it is known that the extreme linearized Weyl curvature components satisfy the Teukolsky equation [25], which can be further solved by separation of variables.

On the Schwarzschild background, there is a transformation theory, initiated by Wald [28] with further refinements by Aksteiner et al. [1], that relates the Regge-Wheeler equation and the Teukolsky equation. The Regge-Wheeler equation has a favorable potential which allows for a direct analysis, while this is not clear for the Teukolsky equation.

In the Schwarzschild and Kerr settings, the estimates and techniques from the study of the scalar wave equation, regarded as a "poor man's" linearization of the vacuum Einstein equations, are expected to prove an essential

ingredient in further progress on linear stability, with developments in linear stability playing a similar role in non-linear stability. A complete theory, including uniform boundedness and decay estimates, is now in place for scalar waves, first appearing in the seminal works of [7] in the Schwarzschild setting and [9] in sub-extremal Kerr, with contributions and refinements also appearing in [14, 16, 3, 10, 2, 19, 24, 17].

To get a complete theory of linear stability, one needs to prove such decay estimates for each component of a solution δg under a suitable gauge, and modulo the Kerr perturbations. Finster-Smoller [11] prove the decay estimates of Teukolsky equation on the Schwarzschild background (see also the Kerr case [12]). However, the decay estimates of the perturbed metric coefficients δg do not follow from this. Note the extreme linearized Weyl curvature components are gauge invariant quantities, while the decay of metric coefficients holds true only after a gauge condition. It seems that the reconstruction of metric coefficients from the extreme components of Weyl curvatures in the N-P formalism remains unsolved, with partial results appearing in [27]. See also [30].

The authors of [6] make use of the aforementioned transformation theory and shows that a certain second derivative of extreme linearized Weyl curvature satisfies a Regge-Wheeler type equation with a favorable potential. A double null gauge is imposed to derive that all perturbed metric coefficients decay modulo the Kerr perturbation. The initial value problem is formulated on characteristic data sets.

The current paper gives a complete theory of linear stability at the level of the metric perturbation. In the space of linearized solutions of symmetric $(0,2)$ tensors δg, we identify the Kerr perturbation in the subspace of lower angular modes. For the higher angular modes, we work with gauge-invariant quantities at the level of perturbed connection coefficients which satisfy Regge-Wheeler type equations with favorable potentials. The Regge-Wheeler gauge and an interpolated Chandrasekhar gauge are adopted to prove the decay of all perturbed metric coefficients and complete the proof. In contrast to [6], the initial value problem is formulated on Cauchy data sets.

In order to identify the Kerr perturbation, we first decompose any smooth, symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor δg according to angular modes

$$\delta g = \delta g^{\ell<2} + \delta g^{\ell\geq 2}, \tag{2}$$

see Proposition 4.

Summarizing our results, we have the following linear stability theorem on the Schwarzschild spacetime, stated in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2:

Theorem 1. *Let δg be a smooth, symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor on the Schwarzschild spacetime, satisfying the linearized vacuum Einstein equations (1).*

For the $\delta g^{\ell<2}$ component of δg, there exists a unique smooth co-vector $X^{\ell<2}$ (modulo Killing fields) on the Schwarzschild spacetime and constants

c, d_{-1}, d_0, d_1 such that

$$\delta g^{\ell<2} = \pi_{X^{\ell<2}} + cK + \sum_{m=-1,0,1} d_m K_m, \tag{3}$$

where K, K_{-1}, K_0, K_1 are smooth symmetric $(0,2)$ tensors that correspond to linearized Kerr solutions specified in Definition 8.

The existence part of Theorem 1 is essentially known, first appearing in the work of Zerilli [31]. See also Martel-Poisson [18]. We provide a different proof for completeness.

Theorem 2. *Under the same assumption for δg as in Theorem 1. Assuming moreover $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$ is compactly supported away from the bifurcation sphere on the time-slice $\{t=0\}$, there exists a smooth co-vector $X^{\ell\geq 2}$ such that*

$$\delta g^{\ell\geq 2} = \pi_{X^{\ell\geq 2}} + \widehat{\delta g}^{\ell\geq 2}, \tag{4}$$

with the gauge-normalized solution $\widehat{\delta g}^{\ell\geq 2}$ decaying pointwise through a suitable foliation.

Another decomposition (the Hodge type decomposition) of the space of symmetric $(0,2)$ tensors is adopted to study δg. Any δg is decomposed into the closed and co-closed portions, which generalize the even-odd or axial-polar decompositions in physics literature, without any symmetry or mode assumptions. Note that K belongs to the closed part while $K_m, m=-1,0,1$ belong to the co-closed part.

For each portion of $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$, we decouple gauge-invariant quantities satisfying Regge-Wheeler type equations (71, 74, 97); analysis of these Regge-Wheeler type equations shows that each quantity decays to zero through a suitable foliation. The rest of the proof consists of the reconstruction of components of $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$ from these quantities under suitable gauge choice of $X^{\ell\geq 2}$ and the deduction of decay of all components of δg. The choice of the gauge turned out to be quite subtle. The well-known Regge-Wheeler gauge [23] and Chandrasekhar gauge [4] both use the gauge freedom to remove four components of $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$. However, neither seem sufficient to imply the decay of the other six components under the boundary condition. At the end, the decay of all components of $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$ is achieved by imposing the Regge-Wheeler gauge and an interpolated Chandrasekhar gauge.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the Schwarzschild spacetimes as a family of static, spherically symmetric spacetimes satisfying the vacuum Einstein equations. In Section 3, we discuss linearized gravity about such spherically symmetric spacetimes. In particular, we discuss Hodge decomposition on the spheres of symmetry and decomposition into spherical harmonics. In Section 4 we present the well-known linearized Kerr family of solutions, along with the pure gauge solutions. Using such solutions, we treat the analysis of $\delta g^{\ell<2}$ and the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 5. Subsequent sections deal with the analysis of the closed and co-closed portions of the remainder $\delta g^{\geq 2}$. In Section 6, we prove decay of the co-closed

portion in the Regge-Wheeler gauge, extending results from the previous [13]. In Section 7, we present the well-known Zerilli-Moncrief function as a gauge-invariant quantity, satisfying the Zerilli equation, the analysis of which is the subject of Section 8. In Section 9, we introduce the Chandrasekhar gauge, and prove decay of the closed solution under a suitable modification of the gauge. We summarize our results on $\delta g^{\ell \geq 2}$ in Section 10, wherein we prove Theorem 2.

2. The Schwarzschild Spacetime

The Schwarzschild spacetimes $(\mathcal{M}, g_M)$ comprise a family of static, spherically symmetric spacetimes, parametrized by mass $M > 0$. Each such spacetime is vacuum; i.e., each metric g_M satisfies the vacuum Einstein equations $Ric(g_M) = 0$.

The staticity and spherical symmetry of the Schwarzschild family are encoded in a number of Killing fields. In particular, we have the static Killing field, denoted T, and the rotational Killing fields, denoted Ω_i, with $i = 1, 2, 3$. For convenience in what follows, we collect the rotation Killing fields in the set $\Omega := \{\Omega_i | i = 1, 2, 3\}$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathcal{K} := \{T, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3\}$ the full set of Killing fields.

Our results concern the Schwarzschild exterior region, up to and including the future event horizon. In the course of our analysis, various coordinate systems will prove useful; we enumerate them below.

The Schwarzschild exterior, not including the event horizon, is covered by a coordinate patch (t, r, θ, ϕ) with $t \in \mathbb{R}, r > 2M, (\theta, \phi) \in S^2$. In these standard Schwarzschild coordinates, the Schwarzschild metric has the form

$$g_M = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta := d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \tag{6}$$

is the round metric on the unit sphere. Often we use the shorthand

$$\mu := \frac{2M}{r}, \tag{7}$$

$$\Delta := r^2 - 2Mr. \tag{8}$$

Alternatively, we can cover this region with the Regge-Wheeler coordinates (t, r_*, θ, ϕ), with tortoise coordinate r_* normalized as

$$r_* := r + 2M \ln(r - 2M) - 3M - 2M \ln(M), \tag{9}$$

such that the metric

$$g_M = -(1 - \mu) dt^2 + (1 - \mu) dr_*^2 + r^2 \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta \tag{10}$$

is defined on $t \in \mathbb{R}, r_* \in \mathbb{R}, (\theta, \phi) \in S^2$, with $r_* = 0$ on the photon sphere $r = 3M$.

A variant of the above takes $t_* = t + 2M \ln(r - 2M)$, with

$$g_M = -(1-\mu)dt_*^2 + 2\mu dt_* dr + (1+\mu)dr^2 + r^2 \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \tag{11}$$

now defined for (t_*, r, θ, ϕ) coordinates satisfying $t_* \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0, (\theta, \phi) \in S^2$. In contrast with the previous two, this coordinate system covers both the exterior region and the black hole region.

Finally, we shall refer to the double-null coordinate system (u, v, θ, ϕ), with null coordinates u and v related to the Regge-Wheeler coordinates by

$$u = t - r_*, \tag{12}$$

$$v = t + r_*. \tag{13}$$

With this relation, the Schwarzschild metric takes the form

$$g_M = -(1-\mu)dudv + r^2 \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta. \tag{14}$$

Note that each of the above coordinate systems covers only a portion of the maximally extended Schwarzschild spacetime, globally parametrized by the Kruskal coordinates [15]. As we work only on the exterior region and event horizon, we do not employ this cumbersome coordinate system in what follows.

For more information on the Schwarzschild spacetime, we direct the reader to the comprehensive references [29, 4].

3. Linearized Gravity in a Spherically Symmetric Background

3.1. **Spherically symmetric background.** The analysis in this section applies to a spherically symmetric spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ such that the group $SO(3)$ acts by isometry.

Let $(\mathcal{Q}, \tilde{g} = \sum_{A,B=0,1} \tilde{g}_{AB} dx^A dx^B)$ be a two-dimensional Lorentzian manifold with local coordinates $x^A, A = 0, 1$. Let $(S^2, \mathring{\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha\beta=2,3} \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta)$ be the unit two-sphere with the standard Riemannian metric in local coordinates $x^\alpha, \alpha = 2, 3$. We adopt the convention that any repeated index is summed. Each point on $\mathcal{Q}$ represents an orbit sphere, with r a positive function which represents the areal radius of each orbit sphere. We consider a general spherically symmetric spacetime in local coordinates x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3:

$$\tilde{g}_{AB} dx^A dx^B + r^2 \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta, A, B = 0, 1, \alpha, \beta = 2, 3. \tag{15}$$

The following indices notations are adopted throughout the paper: $A, B, C, \cdots = 0, 1$ for quotient indices, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots = 2, 3$ for spherical indices, and $a, b, c, \cdots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ for spacetime indices.

For example, the metric on the Schwarzschild spacetime with coordinates $x^0 = t, x^1 = r, x^2 = \theta, x^3 = \phi$ has

$$\tilde{g}_{AB} dx^A dx^B = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2. \tag{16}$$

The Christoffel symbols Γ^c_{ab} of a spherically symmetric spacetime are

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^C_{AB} &= \tilde{\Gamma}^C_{AB},\\ \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= \mathring{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta},\\ \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha A} &= r^{-1}\partial_A r(\delta^\beta_\alpha),\\ \Gamma^D_{\alpha\beta} &= -r\partial^D r(\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}),\end{aligned}$$

where Γ^C_{AB} and $\mathring{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are the Christoffel symbols of g_{AB} and $\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively.

For tensors defined on $\mathcal{M}$, we consider two types of differential operators, $\tilde{\nabla}_A$ and $\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha$. When applied to functions, $\tilde{\nabla}_A$ and $\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha$ are just differentiation with respect to coordinate variables $x^A, A = 0, 1$ and $x^\alpha, \alpha = 2, 3$, respectively. We then define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_A dx^B &= -\tilde{\Gamma}^B_{AC}dx^C,\\ \tilde{\nabla}_A dx^\alpha &= 0,\\ \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha dx^B &= 0,\\ \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha dx^\beta &= -\mathring{\Gamma}^\beta_{\alpha\gamma}dx^\gamma.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The operators can then be extended to associated tensor bundles, particularly the bundle of symmetric two-tensors on $\mathcal{M}$. We use the notation $\tilde{\Box}$ and $\mathring{\Delta}$ for the quotient d'Alembertian and the spherical Laplacian operators. Furthermore, we denote the volume forms for the quotient space and the unit sphere by ϵ_{AB} and $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively. The operator $\mathring{\Delta}$ can be used to characterize functions that are supported at lower angular modes.

Definition 3. A smooth function Y on a spherically symmetric spacetime is said to be *supported at* $\ell < 2$ if

$$\mathring{\Delta}(\mathring{\Delta} + 2)Y = 0. \tag{18}$$

A symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor on a general spherically symmetric spacetime is of the form

$$\delta g = h_{AB}dx^A dx^B + 2h_{A\alpha}dx^A dx^\alpha + h_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta \tag{19}$$

in our calculation. Each component of $h_{AB}, h_{A\alpha}, h_{\alpha\beta}$ depends on all spacetime variables.

Proposition 4. *Any symmetric* $(0, 2)$ *tensor* δg *on a spherically symmetric spacetime can be decomposed into* $\delta g = \delta g^{\ell<2} + \delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$ *in which the components of*

$$\delta g^{\ell\geq 2} = h_{AB}dx^A dx^B + 2h_{A\alpha}dx^A dx^\alpha + h_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta$$

are characterized by the vanishing of the integrals

$$\int_{S^2} h_{AB}Y, \int_{S^2} (\mathring{\nabla}^\alpha h_{A\alpha})Y, \int_{S^2} (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha h_{A\beta})Y, \int_{S^2} \mathring{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta}Y$$

with respect to any function Y *that is supported at* $\ell < 2$ *(Definition 3).*

For more details on the proposition above, refer to the later subsection on spherical harmonic decomposition. The above discussion applies to any spherically symmetric spacetime. In the following, we collect several formulae specific to the Schwarzschild spacetime that will be used in further calculations. The Christoffel symbols of the quotient metric (16) are

$$\Gamma^1_{11} = -\frac{M}{r(r-2M)}, \Gamma^1_{00} = \frac{M(r-2M)}{r^3}, \Gamma^0_{01} = \frac{M}{r(r-2M)},$$

and in particular $\Gamma^1_{11} + \Gamma^0_{01} = 0$.

On the other hand the radial function r satisfies

$$\tilde{\Box} r^2 = 2, \tilde{\nabla}_A \tilde{\nabla}_B r = \frac{M}{r^2} \tilde{g}_{AB}, |\tilde{\nabla} r|^2 = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}, \tilde{\Box} r = \frac{2M}{r^2}.$$

Th Gauss curvature of the quotient metric (16) is $\frac{2M}{r^3}$.

3.2. **The Linearized Vacuum Einstein Equations.** For an Einstein manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ with $Ric(g) = 0$, we consider an infinitesimal solution of the linearization of $Ric(g) = 0$. Suppose a symmetric (0,2)-tensor $h_{ab} = \delta g_{ab}$ is a linear perturbation of g_{ab}. We recall that the perturbation of the Ricci curvature δR_{bd} satisfies

$$2\delta R_{bd} = g^{ae}(\nabla_a \nabla_d h_{eb} + \nabla_a \nabla_b h_{ed} - \nabla_d \nabla_b h_{ea} - \nabla_a \nabla_e h_{bd}). \tag{20}$$

On a spherically symmetric spacetime, we further decompose the last component of (19)

$$h_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta = H \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta + \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta$$

into trace and traceless parts. The trace H is regarded as a function on $\mathcal{M}$, while the traceless part $\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ is a symmetric traceless two-tensor with respect to $\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}$.

Expressed in this way, the linear perturbation takes the form

$$\delta g = h_{AB} dx^A dx^B + 2h_{A\alpha} dx^A dx^\alpha + H \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta + \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \tag{21}$$

with each of $h_{AB}, h_{A\alpha}, H, \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ depending upon all spacetime variables.

Perturbing about a spherically symmetric spacetime, with radial function r, we compute the linearized Ricci tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta R_{AB} = {} & 2r^{-1} r^D \left(\tilde{\nabla}_A h_{DB} + \tilde{\nabla}_B h_{DA} - \tilde{\nabla}_D h_{AB} \right) - 2r^{-1} r_A \tilde{\nabla}_B (r^{-2} H) \\
& - 2r^{-1} r_B \tilde{\nabla}_A (r^{-2} H) + g^{CD} \left(\tilde{\nabla}_C \tilde{\nabla}_A h_{BD} + \tilde{\nabla}_C \tilde{\nabla}_B h_{AD} \right) \\
& - \tilde{\Box} h_{AB} - \tilde{\nabla}_A \tilde{\nabla}_B \left(g^{CD} h_{CD} + 2r^{-2} H \right) - r^{-2} \mathring{\Delta} h_{AB} \\
& + r^{-2} \tilde{\nabla}_A \mathring{\nabla}^\alpha h_{B\alpha} + r^{-2} \tilde{\nabla}_B \mathring{\nabla}^\alpha h_{A\alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta R_{A\alpha} =& -\tilde{\nabla}_A\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha(r^{-2}H) + \tilde{\nabla}^B\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha h_{BA} - r\tilde{\nabla}_A\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\left(r^{-1}g^{CD}h_{CD}\right)\\ &+ 2r^{-1}r^B\tilde{\nabla}_A h_{B\alpha} + \tilde{\nabla}^B\tilde{\nabla}_A h_{B\alpha} - 2r^{-2}r_A r^B h_{B\alpha}\\ &- 2r^{-1}r_A\tilde{\nabla}^B h_{B\alpha} - 2r^{-1}(\tilde{\nabla}_A\tilde{\nabla}^B r)h_{B\alpha} - \tilde{\Box}h_{A\alpha}\\ &+ r^{-2}\left(\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha h_{A\gamma} - \mathring{\Delta}h_{A\alpha}\right) + \tilde{\nabla}_A\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma(r^{-2}\hat{h}_{\alpha\gamma}),\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta R_{\alpha\beta} =& \Big(2rr^B\tilde{\nabla}^A h_{AB} + 2r^A r^B h_{AB} + 2r(\tilde{\nabla}^A\tilde{\nabla}^B r)h_{AB} - rr^A\tilde{\nabla}_A(g^{CD}h_{CD})\\ &- r^2\tilde{\Box}(r^{-2}H) - 2r^{-1}(\tilde{\nabla}^A\tilde{\nabla}_A r)H + 6r^{-2}r^A r_A H - 4r^{-1}r^A\tilde{\nabla}_A H - r^{-2}\mathring{\Delta}H\Big)\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\\ &- \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}_\beta(g^{CD}h_{CD}) + \left(\tilde{\nabla}^A\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha h_{A\beta} + \tilde{\nabla}^A\mathring{\nabla}_\beta h_{A\alpha}\right) + 2r^{-1}r^A\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma h_{A\gamma}\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\\ &+ 2r^{-2}r^A r_A\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} - 2r^{-1}(\tilde{\nabla}^A\tilde{\nabla}_A r)\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} - 2r^{-1}r^A\tilde{\nabla}_A\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} - r^2\tilde{\Box}(r^{-2}\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta})\\ &+ r^{-2}\left(\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\hat{h}_{\beta\gamma} + \mathring{\nabla}^\gamma\mathring{\nabla}_\beta\hat{h}_{\alpha\gamma} - \mathring{\Delta}\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Specializing to the Schwarzschild spacetime, we rewrite $\delta R_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\delta R_{A\alpha}$ in alternative forms which will be used later. For $\delta R_{\alpha\beta}$, the formulas from the preceding section yield

$$\begin{aligned}\delta R_{\alpha\beta} =& \Big(2rr^B\tilde{\nabla}^A h_{AB} + 2r^A r^B h_{AB} + 2r(\tilde{\nabla}^A\tilde{\nabla}^B r)h_{AB} - rr^A\tilde{\nabla}_A(g^{CD}h_{CD})\\ &- r^2\tilde{\Box}(r^{-2}H) - 2r^{-2}H - 4rr^A\tilde{\nabla}_A(r^{-2}H) - r^{-2}\mathring{\Delta}H\Big)\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\\ &- \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}_\beta(g^{CD}h_{CD}) + \left(\tilde{\nabla}^A\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha h_{A\beta} + \tilde{\nabla}^A\mathring{\nabla}_\beta h_{A\alpha}\right) + 2r^{-1}r^A(\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma h_{A\gamma})\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\\ &- \tilde{\nabla}^A\left(r^2\tilde{\nabla}_A(r^{-2}\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta})\right) - 2r^{-2}\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} + r^{-2}\left(\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma\mathring{\nabla}^\delta\hat{h}_{\gamma\delta} + 2\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The last line in (24) is simplified by using

$$\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\hat{h}_{\beta\gamma} + \mathring{\nabla}^\gamma\mathring{\nabla}_\beta\hat{h}_{\alpha\gamma} - \mathring{\Delta}\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma\mathring{\nabla}^\delta\hat{h}_{\gamma\delta} + 2\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Next, we rewrite $\delta R_{A\alpha}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta R_{A\alpha} =& \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\left[\tilde{\nabla}^B h_{BA} - r\tilde{\nabla}_A(r^{-1}g^{CD}h_{CD}) - \tilde{\nabla}_A(r^{-2}H)\right]\\ &- r^{-2}\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon^{CD}\tilde{\nabla}^B\left[r^4\tilde{\nabla}_D(r^{-2}h_{C\alpha})\right]\\ &- r^{-2}\left(\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha h_{A\gamma} + \mathring{\Delta}h_{A\alpha}\right) + 2r^{-2}\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma h_{A\gamma}\\ &+ \tilde{\nabla}_A\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma(r^{-2}\hat{h}_{\alpha\gamma}).\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

To derive (26) from (23) relies on the following calculation lemma.

Lemma 5.

$$r^{-2}\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon^{CD}\tilde{\nabla}^B\left[r^4\tilde{\nabla}_D(r^{-2}h_{C\alpha})\right]$$
$$=\tilde{\Box}h_{A\alpha}-\tilde{\nabla}^B\tilde{\nabla}_A h_{B\alpha}+r^{-2}\left[-(\tilde{\Box}r^2)h_{A\alpha}+(\tilde{\nabla}^B\tilde{\nabla}_A r^2)h_{B\alpha}+(\tilde{\nabla}_A r^2)\tilde{\nabla}^B h_{B\alpha}-(\tilde{\nabla}^B r^2)\tilde{\nabla}_A h_{B\alpha}\right].$$

Proof. Denote

$$P^B{}_{DC}=\tilde{\nabla}^B(r^4\tilde{\nabla}_D(r^{-2}h_{C\alpha})),$$

and observe that

$$\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon^{CD}P^B{}_{DC}=P^B{}_{BA}-P^B{}_{AB}$$

holds when the quotient spacetime is two-dimensional. We also use $\tilde{\Box}r^2=2$ on Schwarzschild and the commutation formula

$$\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha h_{A\gamma}=\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma h_{A\gamma}+h_{A\alpha}. \tag{27}$$

□

3.3. **Hodge Decomposition.** Recall that a general symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor on a spherically symmetric spacetime is of the form

$$\delta g=h_{AB}dx^Adx^B+2h_{A\alpha}dx^Adx^\alpha+(H\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}+\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta})dx^\alpha dx^\beta \tag{28}$$

in our calculation. Each component of $h_{AB},h_{A\alpha},h_{\alpha\beta}$ depends on all spacetime variables. Such a decomposition is at the pointwise level. In the following, we consider a Hodge type decomposition on the spheres of symmetry.

The following Hodge type decomposition of components of δg of the form (28) follows from the appendix:

Proposition 6. *Let $h_{A\alpha}dx^Adx^\alpha$ be the second component of a symmetric 2-tensor δg of the form (28). For each $A=0,1$, there exist functions H_A and $\underline{H}_A$ on $\mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$h_{A\alpha}dx^\alpha=[\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha H_A+\epsilon^\beta_\alpha(\mathring{\nabla}_\beta\underline{H}_A)]dx^\alpha. \tag{29}$$

Let $\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta$ be the last component a symmetric 2-tensor δg of the form (28) on $\mathcal{M}$ that is traceless in the sense that $\mathring{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}=0$. There exist functions H_2, $\underline{H}_2$ on $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta=[(\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}_\beta H_2-\frac{1}{2}\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\mathring{\Delta}H_2)+\frac{1}{2}(\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\epsilon^\gamma_\beta\mathring{\nabla}_\gamma\underline{H}_2+\mathring{\nabla}_\beta\epsilon^\gamma_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}_\gamma\underline{H}_2)]dx^\alpha dx^\beta. \tag{30}$$

Each of the decompositions above (29, 30) is invariant under the spacetime covariant derivative on Schwarzschild.

Proposition 7. *Any symmetric (0, 2) tensor δg of the form (28) can be decomposed as $\delta g=h_1+h_2$ where*

$$h_1=h_{AB}dx^Adx^B+2(\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha H_A)dx^\alpha dx^A+(H\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}+\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}_\beta H_2-\frac{1}{2}\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\mathring{\Delta}H_2)dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \tag{31}$$

$$h_2=2\epsilon^\beta_\alpha(\mathring{\nabla}_\beta\underline{H}_A)dx^\alpha dx^A+\frac{1}{2}(\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\epsilon^\gamma_\beta\mathring{\nabla}_\gamma\underline{H}_2+\mathring{\nabla}_\beta\epsilon^\gamma_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}_\gamma\underline{H}_2)dx^\alpha dx^\beta. \tag{32}$$

We note that the total number of components of δg remains ten, given by $h_{AB}, A, B = 0, 1$, $H_A, A = 0, 1$, $\underline{H}_A, A = 0, 1$, H, H_2, and $\underline{H}_2$.

We refer to the portions h_1 and h_2 into which δg was decomposed above as the closed and co-closed portions, respectively. In the context of linearized gravity, each portion is itself a solution of (1) above. As we work within a linear theory, there is no trouble in studying, separately, the closed and co-closed pieces of a solution satisfying the linearized vacuum Einstein equations above; we simply add the two together to recover the original.

The closed and co-closed solutions generalize the even-parity (polar) and the odd-parity (axial) solutions in the physics literature, respectively. In the course of the paper, we will simply refer to them as closed and co-closed solutions.

3.4. **Spherical Harmonics.** The metric perturbation is comprised of objects which can be regarded as scalar, co-vector, and symmetric two-tensor quantities on the spheres of symmetry. In the course of our work, we find it necessary to decompose these objects into spherical harmonics. Owing to the Hodge decomposition of the previous subsection, wherein each of these quantities is decomposed in constituent scalar potentials, the familiar scalar spherical harmonics are seen to underly the harmonics of each object.

The scalar spherical harmonics $Y^{\ell m}$, indexed by integers $\ell \geq 0$ and $|m| \leq \ell$, are eigenfunctions of the spherical Laplacian, with eigenvalue $-\ell(\ell+1)$. That is, the $Y^{\ell m}$ satisfy

$$\mathring{\Delta} Y^{\ell m} = -\ell(\ell+1) Y^{\ell m} \tag{33}$$

for $\ell \geq 0, |m| \leq \ell$.

The eigenfunctions $Y^{\ell m}$ form a complete, orthonormal basis of $L^2(S^2)$. Note that we are normalizing

$$||Y^{\ell m}||_{L^2(S^2)} = 1. \tag{34}$$

For the co-vectors on the sphere, we have the closed harmonics

$$Y^{\ell m}_{\alpha} := \mathring{\nabla}_{\alpha} Y^{\ell m}, \tag{35}$$

and the co-closed harmonics

$$X^{\ell m}_{\alpha} := \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \mathring{\nabla}^{\beta} Y^{\ell m}. \tag{36}$$

Regarding symmetric two-tensors, closed harmonics have the form

$$Y^{\ell m}_{\alpha\beta} := \left(\mathring{\nabla}_{\alpha} \mathring{\nabla}_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \ell(\ell+1) \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \right) Y^{\ell m}, \tag{37}$$

while, for the co-closed harmonics,

$$X^{\ell m}_{\alpha\beta} := \left(\epsilon^{\gamma}_{\alpha} \mathring{\nabla}_{\beta} + \epsilon^{\gamma}_{\beta} \mathring{\nabla}_{\alpha} \right) \mathring{\nabla}_{\gamma} Y^{\ell m}. \tag{38}$$

We note that, for the co-vectors

$$\begin{aligned}\mathring{\Delta} Y^{\ell m}_{\alpha} &= (1-\ell(\ell+1))Y^{\ell m}_{\alpha},\\ \mathring{\Delta} X^{\ell m}_{\alpha} &= (1-\ell(\ell+1))X^{\ell m}_{\alpha},\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

with support on $\ell \geq 1$. For the symmetric-two tensors, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathring{\Delta} Y^{\ell m}_{\alpha\beta} &= (4-\ell(\ell+1))Y^{\ell m}_{\alpha\beta},\\ \mathring{\Delta} X^{\ell m}_{\alpha\beta} &= (4-\ell(\ell+1))X^{\ell m}_{\alpha\beta},\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

with support on $\ell \geq 2$.

Subsequently, we shall find it necessary to project the linearized solution to particular harmonics. For the closed solution h_1, we use the notation

$$\begin{aligned}h^{\ell m}_{AB} &= \tilde{h}^{\ell m}_{AB} Y^{\ell m},\\ H^{\ell m}_{A} &= \tilde{H}^{\ell m}_{A} Y^{\ell m},\\ H^{\ell m} &= \tilde{H}^{\ell m} Y^{\ell m},\\ H^{\ell m}_{2} &= \tilde{H}^{\ell m}_{2} Y^{\ell m},\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

and for the co-closed solution h_2,

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{H}^{\ell m}_{A} &= \underline{\tilde{H}}^{\ell m}_{A} Y^{\ell m},\\ \underline{H}^{\ell m}_{2} &= \underline{\tilde{H}}^{\ell m}_{2} Y^{\ell m},\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

with $\tilde{h}^{\ell m}_{AB}, \tilde{H}^{\ell m}_{A}, \underline{\tilde{H}}^{\ell m}_{A}, \tilde{H}^{\ell m}, \tilde{H}^{\ell m}_{2}$, and $\underline{\tilde{H}}^{\ell m}_{2}$ all objects on the quotient space $\mathcal{Q}$. The spherical decomposition above is done in L^2 on the spheres of symmetry, so that, for example,

$$H_2(t,r,\theta,\phi) = \sum_{\ell,m} H^{\ell m}_2(t,r,\theta,\phi) = \sum_{\ell,m} \tilde{H}^{\ell m}_2(t,r) Y^{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)$$

holds for each pair (t,r) in the sense of $L^2(S^2)$.

In the decomposition of Proposition 4

$$\delta g = \delta g^{\ell<2} + \delta g^{\ell\geq 2}, \tag{43}$$

$\delta g^{\ell<2}$ is the portion of δg supported on the spherical harmonics $\ell = 0, 1$, with $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$ defined similarly.

4. Linearized Kerr Solutions and Pure Gauge Solutions

4.1. **Linearized Kerr Solutions.** Considering the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates as an extension of the standard Schwarzschild coordinates, we write the Kerr metric in the suggestive form (28)

$$\begin{aligned}g_{M,a} = &-\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta}\\ &- \frac{4Ma}{r}\sin^2\theta d\phi dt + O(a^2).\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

We treat separately the linearized change in mass and change in angular velocity below.

4.1.1. *Linearized Change in Mass.* In the expression above, linearized mass solutions have the form

$$h_{ab}dx^a dx^b = \frac{\delta M}{r}dt^2 + \frac{\delta M r}{(r-2M)^2}dr^2, \tag{45}$$

giving infinitesimal change in mass within the Schwarzschild family. We can verify directly that the symmetric two-tensor h_{ab} satisfies the linearized vacuum Einstein equations (1). Note that linearized Schwarzschild solutions are closed solutions, supported at the lowest harmonic $\ell = 0$.

4.1.2. *Linearized Change in Angular Velocity.* Infinitesimal change in angular velocity appears in the linearized Kerr solution as

$$h_{ab}dx^a dx^b = \frac{\delta a}{r}\epsilon_\alpha^\beta Y_\beta^{1m} dx^\alpha dt, \tag{46}$$

for $m = -1, 0, 1$. Again, direct computation shows that h_{ab} is a solution of the linearized vacuum Einstein equations (1). Such linearized Kerr solutions are co-closed solutions, supported at the harmonic $\ell = 1$.

Taken together, the above form the four dimensional family of linearized Kerr solutions.

Definition 8. The Kerr solutions K, K_{-1}, K_0, K_1 of the linearized vacuum Einstein equation on Schwarzschild are given by:

$$K = \frac{1}{r}dt^2 + \frac{r}{(r-2M)^2}dr^2, K_m = \frac{1}{r}\epsilon_\alpha^\beta \mathring{\nabla}_\beta Y^{1m} dx^\alpha dt, m = -1, 0, 1.$$

4.2. **Pure Gauge Solutions.** First we have the following calculation lemma to identity pure gauge solutions.

Lemma 9. *Suppose G is a co-vector on Schwarzschild with G in which*

$$G = G_A dx^A + (\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha G_2)dx^\alpha + (\epsilon_\alpha^\beta \mathring{\nabla}_\beta \underline{G}_2)dx^\alpha. \tag{47}$$

Then the deformation tensor of G decomposes into closed and co-closed parts, $\pi_G = \pi_1 + \pi_2$, in which

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1 = {} & (\tilde{\nabla}_A G_B + \tilde{\nabla}_B G_A)dx^A dx^B + 2\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha[\tilde{\nabla}_A G_2 - 2(r^{-1}\partial_A r)G_2 + G_A]dx^A dx^\alpha \\ & + 2[\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha \mathring{\nabla}_\beta G_2 + r(\partial^A r)G_A \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}]dx^\alpha dx^\beta,\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

$$\pi_2 = 2\epsilon_\alpha^\beta \mathring{\nabla}_\beta[\tilde{\nabla}_A \underline{G}_2 - 2(r^{-1}\partial_A r)\underline{G}_2]dx^A dx^\alpha + [\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha \epsilon_\beta^\gamma \mathring{\nabla}_\gamma \underline{G}_2 + \mathring{\nabla}_\beta \epsilon_\alpha^\gamma \mathring{\nabla}_\gamma \underline{G}_2]dx^\alpha dx^\beta. \tag{49}$$

To ensure decay, we must exhaust all gauge freedom by fixing a gauge for both the closed and co-closed portions above. We treat such gauge fixing, as well as an identification of the linearized change in mass and angular velocity, in $\delta g^{\ell<2}$ in the next section, deferring gauge fixing of $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$ until later in the paper.

5. Analysis of the Lower Harmonics and Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we analyze the lower harmonics represented in $\delta g^{\ell<2}$. With the addition of a suitable pure gauge solution, we are able to extract the associated linearized Kerr parameters in Definition 8, encoding linearized change in mass and angular velocity, and prove Theorem 1.

5.0.1. *Projection to $\ell = 0$.*

Proposition 10. *Any smooth solution δg of the linearized vacuum Einstein equation on Schwarzschild supported at $\ell = 0$ must be of the form*

$$\delta g = \pi_X + cK$$

for a constant c, a smooth co-vector field X, and the linearized Kerr solution K in Definition 8.

Proof. Any such δg is of the form

$$\delta g = h_{AB} dx^A dx^B + H \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta$$

where h_{AB} and H are supported at $\ell = 0$. Take the co-vector field $X' = G_A dx^A$ with

$$G_0 = (1 - \frac{2M}{r}) \int_{3M}^{r} \frac{h_{01}(t,s)}{1 - \frac{2M}{s}} ds, \;\; G_1 = \frac{1}{2(r-2M)} H.$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$\delta g - \pi_{X'} = \tilde{h}_{00} dt^2 + \tilde{h}_{11} dr^2. \tag{50}$$

Note that there is residual gauge freedom for this reduction, in the sense that we can take any co-vector of the form $X = X' + \bar{X}$ for

$$\bar{X} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \bar{c}(t) dt, \tag{51}$$

with $\bar{c}(t)$ an arbitrary function of time. Projecting the linearized Einstein equations to the harmonic $\ell = 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta R_{01} : \partial_t \tilde{h}_{11} = 0, \\ &\delta G_{11} : \partial_r \left(\frac{r}{r-2M} \tilde{h}_{00} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \tilde{h}_{11} = 0, \\ &\delta R_{\alpha\beta} : \partial_r \left(\frac{r}{r-2M} \tilde{h}_{00} \right) + \partial_r \left(\frac{r-2M}{r} \tilde{h}_{11} \right) + \frac{2}{r} \tilde{h}_{11} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

The system has the general solution:

$$\tilde{h}_{11} = \frac{cr}{(r-2M)^2}, \;\; \tilde{h}_{00} = \frac{c}{r} + (1 - \frac{2M}{r}) c(t), \tag{53}$$

for any constant c and an arbitrary function $c(t)$ of t. That is

$$\delta g - \pi_{X'} = cK + (1 - \frac{2M}{r}) c(t) dt^2.$$

Choosing $\bar{X} = (1 - \frac{2M}{r})\bar{c}(t)dt$ such that $\bar{c}'(t) = \frac{c(t)}{2}$, we conclude that

$$\delta g - \pi_{X'} - \pi_{\bar{X}} = cK.$$

Note that the last gauge freedom $\bar{c}(t) \equiv \bar{c}$ in (51) corresponds to the Killing field ∂_t. □

5.0.2. *Projection to $\ell = 1$: Closed Portion.*

Proposition 11. *Any smooth, closed solution δg of the linearized vacuum Einstein equation on Schwarzschild supported at $\ell = 1$ must be a pure gauge solution*

$$\delta g = \pi_X$$

for a smooth co-vector field X.

Proof. For the closed portion of the projection to the $\ell = 1$ harmonic (41), we are able to account for the solution using the Chandrasekhar gauge outlined in the Subsection 9.1. Briefly, in this gauge, with a co-vector X' we have

$$\delta g - \pi_{X'} = \tilde{h}_{00}dt^2 + \tilde{h}_{11}dr^2 + \tilde{H}\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta$$

along with the initial value condition

$$\tilde{H} + (r^2 - 2Mr)\tilde{h}_{11} = 0 \tag{54}$$

on the time-slice $\{t = 0\}$; see Lemma 21 for details. The residual gauge is of the form

$$\bar{X} = -r^2\tilde{\nabla}_A(r^{-2}G)dx^A + (\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha G)dx^\alpha, \tag{55}$$

with

$$G = r^{1/2}\left(r - 2M\right)^{1/2}\left[\bar{c}_1(\theta,\phi)p(r) + \bar{c}_2(t,\theta,\phi)\right],$$

where $p(r) = \int_{3M}^{r} s^{1/2}\left(s - 2M\right)^{-3/2} ds$ and $\bar{c}_1(\theta,\phi)$ and $\bar{c}_2(t,\theta,\phi)$ are supported on $\ell = 1$. We compute

$$\pi_{\bar{X}} = H^{\bar{X}}\left(-\frac{r - 2M}{r^3}dt^2 - \frac{1}{r(r-2M)}dr^2 + \mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta\right),$$

where

$$H^{\bar{X}} = -6Mr^{-1/2}(r - 2M)^{1/2}[\bar{c}_1 p(r) + \bar{c}_2] - 2\bar{c}_1 r. \tag{56}$$

Under this gauge condition, the $\delta R_{0\alpha}$ component of the linearized Ricci tensor gives

$$\partial_t(\tilde{H} + (r^2 - 2Mr)\tilde{h}_{11}) = 0,$$

while the traceless part of $\delta R_{\alpha\beta}$ gives

$$-(1 - \frac{2M}{r})^{-1}\tilde{h}_{00} + (1 - \frac{2M}{r})\tilde{h}_{11} = 0.$$

With the initial condition (54), both $\tilde{h}_{00}$ and $\tilde{h}_{11}$ can be solved in terms of $\tilde{H}$ and the rest of the linearized vacuum Einstein equations are equivalent

to the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\left[\partial_r(r^{-2}\tilde{H})+\frac{2r-5M}{r^3(r-2M)}\tilde{H}\right]=0,\\&\partial_r\left((r^2-2Mr)\left[\partial_r(r^{-2}\tilde{H})+\frac{2r-5M}{r^3(r-2M)}\tilde{H}\right]\right)=0.\end{aligned}\tag{57}$$

The system implies $(r^2-2Mr)\left[\partial_r(r^{-2}\tilde{H})+\frac{2r-5M}{r^3(r-2M)}\tilde{H}\right]=c_1(\theta,\phi)$ for c_1 independent of t,r and supported at $\ell=1$. One then shows that the solution $\tilde{H}$ is exactly of the form of (56). □

5.0.3. *Projection to $\ell=1$: Co-Closed Portion.*

Proposition 12. *Any smooth, co-closed solution δg of the linearized vacuum Einstein equation on Schwarzschild supported at $\ell=1$ must be of the form*

$$\delta g=\pi_X+\sum_{m=-1,0,1}d_mK_m$$

for constants d_m, a smooth co-vector field X, and the linearized Kerr solutions K_m in Definition 8.

Proof. Projecting to the harmonic $\ell=1$, the co-closed portion of δg has the form

$$\delta g=2(\epsilon_\alpha^\beta\mathring{\nabla}_\beta\underline{H}_A)dx^\alpha dx^A,$$

where $\underline{H}_A$ are supported at $\ell=1$. Take the co-vector field $X'=\epsilon_\alpha^\beta\mathring{\nabla}_\beta G dx^\alpha$, with

$$\partial_rG-\frac{2}{r}G=\underline{H}_1,$$

which makes

$$\delta g-\pi_{X'}=2(\epsilon_\alpha^\beta\mathring{\nabla}_\beta\underline{H}_0)dx^\alpha dt.$$

The residual gauge freedom for this reduction is of the form

$$\bar{X}=\epsilon_\alpha^\beta\mathring{\nabla}_\beta(r^2\sum_m\bar{c}_m(t)Y^{1m})dx^\alpha,\tag{58}$$

with $\bar{c}_m(t)$ an arbitrary function of time.

Writing $\underline{H}_A=\sum_m\underline{H}_A^m(t,r)Y^{1m}$, the linearized vacuum Einstein equations (see Lemma 13) amount to

$$\epsilon^{CD}\tilde{\nabla}^B\left[r^4\tilde{\nabla}_D(r^{-2}\underline{H}_C^m)\right]=0,B=0,1.$$

Therefore,

$$\epsilon^{CD}r^4\tilde{\nabla}_D(r^{-2}\underline{H}_C^m)=d_m,$$

for a constant d_m. With $\underline{H}_1=0$, we deduce

$$\partial_r(r^{-2}\underline{H}_0^m)=d_mr^{-4}.$$

The general solution for $\underline{H}_0^m$ takes the form $\frac{d_m}{r}+c_m(t)r^2$, and can be eliminated by the addition of a suitable linearized Kerr solution (Definition 8)

and taking $\bar{c}'_m(t) = c_m$ in (58). Note that the last gauge freedom $\bar{c}(t) \equiv \bar{c}$ corresponds to rotational Killing fields. □

Combining the propositions above, we have a proof of Theorem 1. In particular, adding the various linearized solutions, we find that there exists a unique smooth co-vector $X^{\ell<2}$ (modulo Killing fields) on the Schwarzschild spacetime and constants c, d_{-1}, d_0, d_1 such that

$$\delta g^{\ell<2} = \pi_{X^{\ell<2}} + cK + \sum_{m=-1,0,1} d_m K_m, \tag{59}$$

where K, K_{-1}, K_0, K_1 are smooth symmetric $(0,2)$ tensors that correspond to linearized Kerr solutions specified in Definition 8.

The rest of the paper is concerned with the analysis of the closed and co-closed pieces of the remainder $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$.

6. The Co-closed Solution

In this section, we analyze the co-closed portion h_2 of $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$. Note that the co-closed solution has vanishing of

$$h_{AB} = 0, H = 0, \tag{60}$$

and the divergence conditions

$$\mathring{\nabla}^\alpha h_{A\alpha} = 0, \mathring{\nabla}^\alpha \mathring{\nabla}^\beta \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{61}$$

6.1. The Linearized Vacuum Einstein Equations.

To facilitate the decoupling arguments to come, we rewrite the linearized Einstein equations as follows:

Lemma 13. *For a co-closed solution h_2, the linearized vacuum Einstein equations take the form*

$$\delta R_{AB} = 0, \tag{62}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta R_{A\alpha} = &-r^{-2}\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon^{CD}\tilde{\nabla}^B \left[r^4 \tilde{\nabla}_D (r^{-2} h_{C\alpha})\right] \\ &- r^{-2}\left(h_{A\alpha} + \mathring{\Delta} h_{A\alpha}\right) + \tilde{\nabla}_A \mathring{\nabla}^\gamma (r^{-2}\hat{h}_{\alpha\gamma}) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

$$\delta R_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\nabla}^A \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha h_{A\beta} + \tilde{\nabla}^A \mathring{\nabla}_\beta h_{A\alpha} - \tilde{\nabla}^A \left(r^2 \tilde{\nabla}_A (r^{-2}\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta})\right) = 0 \tag{64}$$

Proof. Vanishing of h_{AB} and H reduce each of the three equations to those in $h_{A\alpha}$ and $\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}$. With the divergence-free condition on $h_{A\alpha}$, the equation for δR_{AB} is trivial. To rewrite $\delta R_{A\alpha}$, we use (23) and (26), particularly the commutation formula (27) and the divergence-free condition $\mathring{\nabla}^\alpha h_{A\alpha} = 0$. Finally, the equation $\delta R_{\alpha\beta}$ (25) reduces to the desired form using the double-divergence condition on $\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ above and $\tilde{\Box} r = 2Mr^{-2}$ on Schwarzschild. □

In the analysis of the co-closed solution, we find it convenient to define the spherical one-form

$$P = P_\alpha dx^\alpha := r^3 \epsilon^{AB} \tilde{\nabla}_B (r^{-2} h_{A\alpha}) dx^\alpha, \tag{65}$$

and the mixed quantity

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= Q_{\alpha\beta A} dx^\alpha dx^\beta dx^A \\ &:= \left(\mathring{\nabla}_\beta h_{A\alpha} + \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha h_{A\beta} - r^2 \tilde{\nabla}_A (r^{-2} \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}) \right) dx^\alpha dx^\beta dx^A. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

We note that each of the quantities is gauge-invariant, as can be checked by direction computation of a co-closed gauge transformation (49).

In terms of these objects Lemma 13 implies that the linearized vacuum Einstein equation (23) has the expression

$$\delta R_{A\alpha} = -r^{-2} \epsilon_{AB} \tilde{\nabla}^B (r P_\alpha) - r^{-2} \mathring{\nabla}^\beta Q_{\alpha\beta A} = 0, \tag{67}$$

and, for (24),

$$\delta R_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\nabla}^A Q_{\alpha\beta A} = 0. \tag{68}$$

By definition, the two objects also satisfy the relation

$$\epsilon^{AB} \tilde{\nabla}_B \left(r^{-2} Q_{\alpha\beta A} \right) - r^{-3} \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha P_\beta - r^{-3} \mathring{\nabla}_\beta P_\alpha = 0. \tag{69}$$

6.2. **Decoupled Quantities.** Applying $r\epsilon^{AB}\tilde{\nabla}_B$ to (67) and $r\mathring{\nabla}^\beta$ to (69), we find

$$\begin{aligned} &r\epsilon^{AB} \tilde{\nabla}_B \left(r^{-2} \epsilon_{AC} \tilde{\nabla}^C (r P_\alpha) \right) + r \mathring{\nabla}^\beta \epsilon^{AB} \tilde{\nabla}_B (r^{-2} Q_{\alpha\beta A}) = 0, \\ &- r \mathring{\nabla}^\beta \epsilon^{AB} \tilde{\nabla}_B (r^{-2} Q_{\alpha\beta A}) + r^{-2} \mathring{\nabla}^\beta \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha P_\beta + r^{-2} \mathring{\nabla}^\beta \mathring{\nabla}_\beta P_\alpha = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Adding the two, we have

$$r\epsilon^{AB} \tilde{\nabla}_B \left(r^{-2} \epsilon_{AC} \tilde{\nabla}^C (r P_\alpha) \right) + r^{-2} \mathring{\nabla}^\beta \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha P_\beta + r^{-2} \mathring{\nabla}^\beta \mathring{\nabla}_\beta P_\alpha = 0, \tag{70}$$

decoupling P.

Indeed, P satisfies a spin $+1$, Regge-Wheeler type equation

$$\Box\!\!\!/_{\mathcal{L}(-1)} P = WP, \tag{71}$$

with potential

$$W := \frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{8M}{r} \right). \tag{72}$$

We refer the reader to [13] for details on the spin $+1$ d'Alembertian operator $\Box\!\!\!/_{\mathcal{L}(-1)}$ above.

Next, we act on (67) by the operator $\mathring{\nabla}_\beta$. Multiplying (69) by r^4, and applying the operator $r^{-2}\epsilon_{AB}\tilde{\nabla}^B$ to the result, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &r^{-2} \epsilon_{AB} \tilde{\nabla}^B (r \mathring{\nabla}_\beta P_\alpha) + r^{-2} \mathring{\nabla}_\beta \mathring{\nabla}^\gamma Q_{\alpha\gamma A} = 0, \\ &r^{-2} \epsilon_{AB} \tilde{\nabla}^B \left(r^4 \epsilon^{CD} \tilde{\nabla}_D (r^{-2} Q_{\alpha\beta C}) \right) - r^{-2} \epsilon_{AB} \tilde{\nabla}^B (r \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha P_\beta) - r^{-2} \epsilon_{AB} \tilde{\nabla}^B (r \mathring{\nabla}_\beta P_\alpha) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Symmetrizing the first equation and summing, we find

$$r^{-2}\epsilon_{AB}\tilde{\nabla}^B\left(r^4\epsilon^{CD}\tilde{\nabla}_D(r^{-2}Q_{\alpha\beta C})\right)+r^{-2}\mathring{\nabla}_\beta\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma Q_{\alpha\gamma A}+r^{-2}\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}^\gamma Q_{\beta\gamma A}=0. \tag{73}$$

Using the remaining equation (68), the component $Q_{\alpha\beta r_*}$ is found to decouple in the equation (73). This quantity, a traceless symmetric two-tensor on the sphere by its definition (66), satisfies a spin +2 Regge-Wheeler equation

$$\not\Box_{\mathcal{L}(-2)}Q_{r_*}=V^{(-)}Q_{r_*}, \tag{74}$$

with potential

$$V^{(-)}:=\frac{4}{r^2}\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right). \tag{75}$$

Again, we refer the reader to [13] for details on the spin +2 d'Alembertian $\not\Box_{\mathcal{L}(-2)}$ above.

6.2.1. *Master Quantity for the Co-Closed Portion.* The master quantity Q_{r_*} can be rewritten in an alternate form to facilitate the comparison with the master quantity (99) in the closed portion. For a solution h_2 in the co-closed portion of the form (32), we define

$$\underline{\epsilon}_A:=\underline{H}_A-\frac{1}{2}r^2\tilde{\nabla}_A(r^{-2}\underline{H}_2). \tag{76}$$

It is not hard to see that

$$Q_{r_*}=\left(\epsilon^\gamma_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}_\beta+\epsilon^\gamma_\beta\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\right)\mathring{\nabla}_\gamma(r^A\underline{\epsilon}_A). \tag{77}$$

It can be shown that $r^A\underline{\epsilon}_A$ satisfies a Regge-Wheeler type equation with respect to the operator $\tilde{\Box}$. However, the subsequent decay estimate in this article is obtained after identifying $r^A\underline{\epsilon}_A$ through (77) as a section of the bundle of symmetric traceless $(0,2)$ tensors of the form $\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta$ with $\mathring{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}=0$. There is a projective covariant derivative operator $\not\nabla$ on this bundle, see [13], defined by

$$\not\nabla_a dx^\alpha=-\Gamma^\alpha_{a\gamma}dx^\gamma,\ \text{for } a=0,1,2,3$$

and $\not\Box_{\mathcal{L}(-2)}$ is exactly the associated Laplace operator $\not\nabla^a\not\nabla_a$.

6.3. **Relation to Chandrasekhar.** Following Chandrasekhar [4], in the axial case,

$$h_{ab}dx^a dx^b=-2r^2\sin^2\theta(\omega dtd\phi+q_2drd\phi+q_3d\theta d\phi). \tag{78}$$

Using the definitions (65) and (66), we calculate

$$P=r^3\sin^2\theta Q_{02}d\phi, \tag{79}$$

$$Q=\sin^2\theta Q_{03}(r^{-2}d\theta d\phi)dt+\frac{\Delta}{r^2}\sin^2\theta Q_{23}(r^{-2}d\theta d\phi)dr_*. \tag{80}$$

The decoupled quantities Q_{r_*} and P correspond, respectively, to α and β in the first two authors' earlier work on axial perturbations [13]. Indeed, the decoupling procedures are the same as those in the previous work. In

contrast to [13], however, the above decoupling is achieved without the need for axisymmetry of the co-closed solution.

6.4. **Analysis of the Co-Closed Solution.**

6.4.1. *Decay of P and Q.* The analysis of P and Q is identical to that carried out in the earlier work [13]. After listing relevant notation, we collect the main results below.

We define the foliation of spacelike hypersurfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau$ by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= t + 2M\log(r-2M) + c_0, \text{ for } r \leq 3M, \\ &= t - \sqrt{r^2+1} + c_1, \text{ for } r \geq 20M, \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

with the specification in the spatially compact region $3M < r < 20M$ and the choice of constants c_0 and c_1 made in such a way that $u, v \geq \tau$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau$. We also need a certain initial energy E_2 (135), specified on the time-slice $\{t = 0\}$. As this energy is defined in the language of vector field multipliers, we refer the reader to Section 8.6 for its definition. Finally, we use $|\cdot|_g$ to denote the spacetime norm.

With this notation, we restate the decay estimates from [13], in a slightly modified form. For details on this modification, see [7].

Analysis of the decoupled quantities Q_{r_*} and P yields the following decay estimates:

Theorem 14. *Suppose P and Q are defined as in* (65) *and* (66)*, respectively, and satisfy the linearized vacuum Einstein equations* (67) *and* (68)*. Assume, moreover, that P and Q are smooth and compactly supported on $\{t = 0\}$, with support on $\ell \geq 2$. Then we have the decay estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_{r_*}|_g &\leq C\sqrt{E_2[Q_{r_*}]}r^{-1}\tau^{-1/2}, \\ |Q_{r_*}|_g &\leq C\sqrt{E_2[Q_{r_*}]}\tau^{-1}, \\ |P|_g &\leq C\sqrt{E_2[P]}r^{-1}\tau^{-1/2}, \\ |P|_g &\leq C\sqrt{E_2[P]}\tau^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

on the family of hypersurfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau$ (81) *specified above.*

Near the horizon, it is possible to apply the transverse direction $\hat{Y} := \frac{1}{1-\mu}(\partial_t - \partial_{r_*})$ as a multiplier to obtain further decay estimates for P. The multiplier is not Killing, but the error terms stemming from the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \Box_{\mathcal{L}(-2)}(\nabla\!\!\!/_{\hat{Y}}P) &= \frac{2(r-M)}{r^2}\nabla\!\!\!/_{\hat{Y}}\nabla\!\!\!/_{\hat{Y}}P - \frac{4}{r}\nabla\!\!\!/_{\hat{Y}}\nabla\!\!\!/_T P \\ &+ \frac{2}{r^2}(\nabla\!\!\!/_T - \nabla\!\!\!/_{\hat{Y}})P + (\hat{Y}W - \frac{2}{r}W)P. \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

are controllable, in much the same way as in Section 3.3.4 of [8]. As a consequence, we have:

Theorem 15. *Suppose that P is a solution of* (71)*, smooth and compactly supported on $\{t = 0\}$, with support on $\ell \geq 2$. Fixing a sufficiently small radius r_0 (see the red-shift construction of Section 8.3), we have the decay estimate*

$$\sup_{r \leq r_0} |\nabla\!\!\!/_{\hat{Y}} P|_g \leq C\sqrt{E_2[P]}\tau^{-1}, \tag{83}$$

where $\hat{Y}$ is the transverse direction (109)*.*

See Section 3.3.4 and Section 4.3 of [8] and our Section 8.3 for details on the red-shift operator, and on this commutation.

Using these estimates, we are able to deduce pointwise decay of the remaining component Q_t as well.

Theorem 16. *Suppose P and Q are defined as in* (65) *and* (66)*, respectively, and satisfy the linearized vacuum Einstein equations* (67) *and* (68)*. Assume, moreover, that P and Q are smooth and compactly supported on $\{t = 0\}$, with support on $\ell \geq 2$. Then the component Q_t satisfies the decay estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_t|_g &\leq C\left(\sqrt{E_2[Q_{r_*}]} + \sqrt{E_2[P]}\right) r^{-1}\tau^{-1/2}, \\ |Q_t|_g &\leq C\left(\sqrt{E_2[Q_{r_*}]} + \sqrt{E_2[P]}\right) \tau^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Note that the quantities P and Q also exhibit uniform decay in energy, as well as uniform boundedness, both pointwise and energy; see [13]. For the purposes of pulling back decay estimates at the metric level, it suffices to consider just the pointwise decay estimates above.

6.4.2. *The Regge-Wheeler Gauge.* To derive decay estimates on the linearized metric-level quantities in the perturbation, we impose the Regge-Wheeler gauge.

Lemma 17. *For any h_2 of the co-closed form* (32)*, there is a co-vector G with π_G of the co-closed form* (49) *such that $h = h_2 - \pi_G$ satisfies*

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

Proof. Comparing the equations (32) and (49), we see that the co-vector field G is easily constructible by the choice $\underline{G}_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\underline{H}_2$. Indeed, such a choice exhausts our gauge freedom. □

6.4.3. *Decay of the Metric Coefficients.* With the imposition of the Regge-Wheeler gauge, we are ready to state our main theorem for the co-closed solution, on the decay of the linearized metric components.

Theorem 18. *Suppose h_2 is a co-closed solution of the linearized vacuum Einstein equations* (1)*, with support in $\ell \geq 2$. Further, assume that h_2 is smooth and compactly supported, with normalization*

$$h = h_2 - \pi_G$$

in the Regge-Wheeler gauge. Then the linearized metric components of h satisfy the spacetime decay estimates

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |h|_g \leq C \left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2} \left(\sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} Q_{r_*}]} + \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} P]} \right) \right) \tau^{-1/2} \tag{85}$$

through the decay foliation (81)*.*

Moreover, in the radially compact region $2M < r < R_0$, we have the improvement

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |h|_g \leq C \left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2} \left(\sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} Q_{r_*}]} + \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} P]} \right) \right) \tau^{-1}. \tag{86}$$

Proof. In the Regge-Wheeler gauge, we need only consider the cross-terms $h_{A\alpha}$. As the $h_{A\alpha}$ are supported on $\ell \geq 2$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|^2_{L^2(S^2)} &= \int_{S^2} |h|^2_g d\mathring{\sigma} = \int_{S^2} \frac{1}{1-\mu} r^{-2} \mathring{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} (h_{r_*\alpha} - h_{t\alpha})(h_{r_*\beta} + h_{t\beta}) d\mathring{\sigma} \\ &\leq C \int_{S^2} r^{-2} \mathring{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} \mathring{\sigma}^{\delta\gamma} Q_{\hat{Y}\alpha\delta} (Q_{t\beta\gamma} + Q_{r_*\beta\gamma}) d\mathring{\sigma}, \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

where we have written $\hat{Y} = \frac{1}{1-\mu}(\partial_t - \partial_{r_*})$, used in the construction of the red-shift operator (see Section 3.3.2 of [8] and our subsection 8.3). For r away from the event horizon, such a direction is comparable to the difference of t and r_* directions, and we have

$$\|h\|^2_{L^2(S^2)} \leq C \int_{S^2} r^{-2} (|Q_t|^2_{\mathring{\sigma}} + |Q_{r_*}|^2_{\mathring{\sigma}}) d\mathring{\sigma},$$

with Theorems 14 and 16 yielding decay. In the remaining region, near the event horizon, we use the linearized equation (67) to relate $Q_{\hat{Y}}$ and $\nabla\!\!\!/_{\hat{Y}} P$, and Theorem 15 to deduce decay. Taken together, we have

$$\|h\|^2_{L^2(S^2)} \leq C(E_2[Q_{r_*}] + E_2[P]) \tau^{-1}.$$

Applying the Sobolev inequality on the sphere, we get the desired pointwise bound. For the small radii, we simply use the stronger decay, without a radial weight. □

7. Decoupling of the Zerilli-Moncrief Function

The remainder of the paper concerns the analysis of the closed solution h_1 of $\delta g^{\ell \geq 2}$, primarily accomplished by studying the decoupled Zerilli-Moncrief function. In this section, we present this well-known decoupling of the Zerilli-Moncrief function, largely following the treatment of Martel-Poisson [18].

To begin, we decompose the closed solution into spherical harmonics; recall the notation (41).

Defining

$$\epsilon^{\ell m}_A := \tilde{H}^{\ell m}_A - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \tilde{\nabla}_A (r^{-2} \tilde{H}^{\ell m}_2), \tag{88}$$

it is well-known that the quantities

$$\tilde{k}^{\ell m}_{AB} := \tilde{h}^{\ell m}_{AB} - \tilde{\nabla}_A \epsilon^{\ell m}_B - \tilde{\nabla}_B \epsilon^{\ell m}_A, \tag{89}$$

$$\tilde{K}^{\ell m} := r^{-2}\tilde{H}^{\ell m} + \frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell+1)r^{-2}\tilde{H}^{\ell m}_2 - \frac{2}{r} r^A \epsilon^{\ell m}_A, \tag{90}$$

are gauge-invariant under gauge transformations of the closed form (48).

In terms of $\tilde{k}^{\ell}_{AB}$ and $\tilde{K}^{\ell m}$, the gauge-invariant Zerilli-Moncrief function is defined by

$$Z^{(+)}_{\ell m} := \frac{2r}{\ell(\ell+1)}\left[\tilde{K}^{\ell m} + \frac{2}{\Lambda}\left(r^A r^B \tilde{k}^{\ell m}_{AB} - r r^A \tilde{\nabla}_A \tilde{K}^{\ell m}\right)\right], \tag{91}$$

where

$$\Lambda := (\ell-1)(\ell+2) + \frac{6M}{r}. \tag{92}$$

Subsequently, for the sake of simplicity, we suppress m-dependence in the spherical harmonics. Moreover, we encode the harmonic dependence with the shorthand

$$n := \frac{1}{2}(\ell-1)(\ell+2). \tag{93}$$

In this notation, the Zerilli-Moncrief function satisfies the well-known Zerilli equation

$$\tilde{\Box} Z^{(+)}_n = \tilde{V}^{(+)}_n Z^{(+)}_n, \tag{94}$$

with potential

$$\tilde{V}^{(+)}_n := \frac{2}{r^3(nr+3M)^2}\left(n^2(n+1)r^3 + 3Mn^2r^2 + 9M^2nr + 9M^3\right). \tag{95}$$

Note that the Zerilli equation for $Z^{(+)}_n$ arises from a direct analysis of the linearized vacuum Einstein equations. See Moncrief [20] for details.

Rescaling, we define an associated spacetime symmetric, traceless two-tensor α_{nm}, with amplitude $\frac{Z^{(+)}_{nm}}{r}$ and support at the harmonic associated with the pair (n,m); that is,

$$\alpha_{nm} := \frac{Z^{(+)}_{nm}}{r}(r^2 Y^{\ell m}_{\alpha\beta})dx^\alpha dx^\beta. \tag{96}$$

By direction computation, α_n is seen to satisfy the space-time Zerilli equation

$$\not{\Box}_{\mathcal{L}(-2)}\alpha_n = V^{(+)}_n \alpha_n, \tag{97}$$

where

$$V^{(+)}_n := \frac{4}{r^2(nr+6M)^2}\left(n^2r^2 - 2n(n-3)Mr - 6(n-3)M^2\right). \tag{98}$$

Again, we have used the spin +2 d'Alembertian operator $\not{\Box}_{\mathcal{L}(-2)}$ defined in [13]. Observe that $V^{(+)}_n \to V^{(-)}$, the Regge-Wheeler potential (74), asymptotically with increasing harmonic number.

This second-order equation on α_n, valid for all higher modes $\ell \geq 2$, is our primary tool in analyzing the co-closed solution.

7.0.1. *Master Quantity in the Closed Portion.* It is possible to rewrite the master quantity (96) for the closed portion in a way that is independent of the harmonic number. Let h_1 be a solution in the closed portion and of the form (31), consider

$$\epsilon_A := H_A - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \tilde{\nabla}_A (r^{-2} H_2),$$

and

$$k_{AB} := h_{AB} - \tilde{\nabla}_A \epsilon_B - \tilde{\nabla}_B \epsilon_A,$$
$$K := r^{-2} H - \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} \mathring{\Delta} H_2 - \frac{2}{r} r^A \epsilon_A.$$

In terms of k_{AB} and K, we consider the following gauge-invariant and harmonic number independent quantity

$$rK + 2r\Lambda^{-1} \left(r^A r^B k_{AB} - r r^A \tilde{\nabla}_A K \right), \tag{99}$$

where $\Lambda^{-1} = (-\mathring{\Delta} - 2 + \frac{6M}{r})^{-1}$ is interpreted as an integral operator: for functions with higher angular mode $\ell \geq 2$, the operator $-\mathring{\Delta} - 2$ is positive and invertible.

The quantity (99) satisfies (94) with respect to the operator $\tilde{\Box}$. Again, the decay estimate can only be obtained after interpreting the quantity as in (96), see Section 6.2.1.

8. Analysis of α_n

In what follows, we produce uniform boundedness and decay estimates for the α_n, using the familiar vector field multiplier method.

8.1. **The Stress-Energy Formalism.** Associated with our wave equation is the stress-energy tensor:

$$T_{ab}[\alpha_n] := \nabla\!\!\!/_a \alpha_n \nabla\!\!\!/_b \alpha_n - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \left(\nabla\!\!\!/^c \alpha_n \nabla\!\!\!/_c \alpha_n + V_n^{(+)} |\alpha_n|^2 \right). \tag{100}$$

Note that $\nabla\!\!\!/$ is the projected covariant derivative operator of [13] and the spacetime pairing and norm for α_n are defined through the identification (96).

Applying a vector field multiplier X^b, we define the energy current

$$J_a^X[\alpha_n] := T_{ab}[\alpha_n] X^b \tag{101}$$

and the density

$$K^X[\alpha_n] := \nabla^a J_a^X[\alpha_n] = \nabla^a (T_{ab}[\alpha_n] X^b). \tag{102}$$

The current $J_a^X[\alpha_n]$ and density $K^X[\alpha_n]$ serve as a convenient notation to express the spacetime Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{D}} J_a^X[\alpha_n] \eta^a = \int_{\mathcal{D}} K^X[\alpha_n], \tag{103}$$

the primary tool in proving the estimates that follow.

We note that, in contrast with the wave equation, the stress-energy tensor $T_{ab}[\alpha_n]$ has non-trivial divergence

$$\nabla^a T_{ab}[\alpha_n] = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_b V_n^{(+)})|\alpha_n|^2. \tag{104}$$

8.2. **Degenerate T-energy.** The Killing multiplier $T = \partial_t$ is a natural starting point in our analysis. Integrating over the spacetime region bounded by time slices $\{t = \tau'\}$ and $\{t = \tau\}$, we obtain the expected conservation law

$$\int_{\{t=\tau\}} J_a^T[\alpha_n]\eta^a = \int_{\{t=\tau'\}} J_a^T[\alpha_n]\eta^a,$$

with η^a being the appropriate unit normal.

Defining the T-energy by

$$E_{\alpha_n}^T(\tau) := \int_{\{t=\tau\}} J_a^T[\alpha_n]\eta^a, \tag{105}$$

our conservation law is nothing more than the statement

$$E_{\alpha_n}^T(\tau) = E_{\alpha_n}^T(\tau') \tag{106}$$

for all τ and τ'.

8.3. **Red Shift Multiplier.** The red shift multiplier N, introduced by Dafermos and Rodnianski [7], provides a non-degenerate energy. We recall the details below.

We begin on the event horizon $\mathcal{H}^+$, away from the bifurcation sphere. Letting Y be a null transversal to the Killing field T, itself tangential on $\mathcal{H}^+$, we specify Y by

(1) Y is future-directed, with normalization $g_M(Y, T) = -2$,
(2) Y is invariant under T and the Ω_i,
(3) On $\mathcal{H}^+$, $\nabla_Y Y = -\sigma(Y + T)$, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ as yet unchosen.

Taking E_i as tangential to the sphere, we calculate in the frame $\{T, Y, E_1, E_2\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_T Y =& -\kappa Y,\\ \nabla_Y Y =& -\sigma(T + Y),\\ \nabla_{E_i} Y =& h_i^j E_j,\end{aligned}$$

with $\kappa = \frac{1}{4M}$ being the positive surface gravity on Schwarzschild spacetime, and with h_j^i being the second fundamental form of the sphere $r = 2M$ (recall that we work on the event horizon) with respect to Y.

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}K^Y[\alpha_n] &= (\nabla^a T_{ab}[\alpha_n])Y^b + T_{ab}[\alpha_n]\nabla^a Y^b\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(YV_n^{(+)}\right)|\alpha_n|^2 + T_{ab}[\alpha_n]\nabla^a Y^b.\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

Save for the lowest harmonic $n = 2$, the potentials $V_n^{(+)}$ are radially increasing functions near the event horizon, and give a positive contribution to the Y-density above. We are able to choose σ large, such that the estimate

$$K^{T+Y}[\alpha_n] = K^Y[\alpha_n] \geq cT_{ab}[\alpha_n](T+Y)^a(T+Y)^b, \tag{108}$$

holds for c a uniform positive constant.

Extending to the exterior, we construct a strictly timelike multiplier $N = T + Y$, satisfying the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} K^N[\alpha_n] &\geq cJ_a^N[\alpha_n]N^a && \text{for } 2M \leq r \leq r_0, \\ J_a^N[\alpha_n]T^a &\sim J_a^T[\alpha_n]T^a && \text{for } r_0 \leq r \leq R_0, \\ |K^N[\alpha_n]| &\leq C|J_a^T[\alpha_n]T^a| && \text{for } r_0 \leq r \leq R_0, \\ N &= T && \text{for } r \geq R_0, \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed $2M < r_0 < R_0 < \infty$.

Defining

$$\hat{Y} := \frac{1}{1-\mu}\partial_u = \frac{1}{1-\mu}(\partial_t - \partial_{r_*}), \tag{109}$$

the extension of Y takes the form $Y = \hat{Y}$ for $r \leq r_0$, $Y = 0$ for $r \geq R_0$, with some suitable interpolation between the two radii.

8.4. **The Morawetz Multiplier X.** Following Morawetz [22], we let $X = f(r)\partial_{r_*}$, with f a general radial function, and denote by ω^X a general weight function. Combining the two, we have the weighted energy current

$$J_a^{X,\omega^X}[\alpha_n] := J_a^X[\alpha_n] + \frac{1}{4}\omega^X\nabla_a|\alpha_n|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\nabla_a\omega^X|\alpha_n|^2, \tag{110}$$

and the weighted density

$$K^{X,\omega^X}[\alpha_n] := K^X[\alpha_n] + \frac{1}{4}\omega^X\Box|\alpha_n|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\Box\omega^X|\alpha_n|^2. \tag{111}$$

Using the notation $(\ )'$ to denote differentiation by the Regge-Wheeler coordinate r_*, we calculate the unweighted density to be

$$\begin{aligned} K^X[\alpha_n] = {} & \frac{f'}{1-\mu}|\not\nabla_{r_*}\alpha_n|^2 + \frac{f}{r}\left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right)|\mathring{\not\nabla}\alpha_n|^2 \\ & + \left[-\frac{Mf}{r^2}V_n^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2}fV_n^{(+)'}\right]|\alpha_n|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\left(f' + 2f\frac{1-\mu}{r}\right)\Box|\alpha_n|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

where we have used the identity

$$\Box|\alpha_n|^2 = 2V_n^{(+)'}|\alpha_n|^2 + 2\not\nabla^c\alpha_n\not\nabla_c\alpha_n. \tag{113}$$

Inserting the weight function

$$\omega^X := f' + 2f\frac{1-\mu}{r}, \tag{114}$$

we calculate the weighted density

$$\begin{aligned} K^{X,\omega^X}[\alpha_n] =& \frac{f'}{1-\mu}|\not\nabla_{r_*}\alpha_n|^2 + \frac{f}{r}\left(1-\frac{3M}{r}\right)|\mathring{\not\nabla}\alpha_n|^2 \\ &+ \left[-\frac{Mf}{r^2}V_n^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2}fV_n^{(+)'} - \frac{1}{4}\Box\omega^X\right]|\alpha_n|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

As in the co-closed analysis [13], we utilize the Holzegel multiplier, with

$$f := \left(1-\frac{3M}{r}\right)\left(1+\frac{M}{r}\right)^2. \tag{116}$$

We calculate

$$f' = \frac{M}{r^2}\left(1+\frac{M}{r}\right)\left(1+\frac{9M}{r}\right)(1-\mu), \tag{117}$$

so that the radial and angular terms in (115) above have non-negative sign. The more complicated portion amounts to

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{Mf}{r^2}V_n^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2}fV_n^{(+)'} - \frac{1}{4}\Box\omega^X \\ &= \frac{1}{2r^8(nr+6M)^3}\Big(- 162000M^8 - 216M^7(64+387n)r \\ &- 108M^6(-648+96n+137n^2)r^2 - 6M^5(792-5928n+468n^2+157n^3)r^3 \\ &- 12M^4(450+102n-520n^2+23n^3)r^4 + 4M^3(216-747n+51n^2+107n^3)r^5 \\ &+ 2M^2n(216-309n+29n^2)r^6 + M(72-65n)n^2r^7 + 8n^3r^8\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

a positive quantity save for a spatially compact region away from the photon sphere $r = 3M$.

As we are supported in the higher angular modes, we can borrow from the angular term, via the Poincaré inequality

$$\int_{S^2(r)}|\mathring{\not\nabla}\alpha_n|^2 \geq \frac{2}{r^2}\int_{S^2(r)}|\alpha_n|^2. \tag{119}$$

Integrating over a spherically symmetric region and borrowing in this way, we have a base term

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{Mf}{r^2}V_n^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2}fV_n^{(+)'} - \frac{1}{4}\Box\omega^X + \frac{2}{r^2}\left(\frac{f}{r}\left(1-\frac{3M}{r}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2r^8(nr+6M)^3}\Big(- 32400M^8 - 648M^7(-60+29n)r \\ &- 108M^6(-192-148n+37n^2)r^2 - 18M^5(504-608n-88n^2+19n^3)r^3 \\ &- 8M^4(675+423n-267n^2+4n^3)r^4 + 4M^3(432-747n-39n^2+50n^3) \\ &+ 2M^2n(432-309n+19n^2)r^6 + M(144-65n)n^2r^7 + 12n^3r^8\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

positive for each harmonic. Indeed, we have a uniform estimate

$$\int_{\{\tau'\leq t\leq\tau\}} \frac{1}{r^3}|\alpha_n|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}|\not\nabla_{r_*}\alpha_n|^2 \leq C\int_{\{\tau'\leq t\leq\tau\}} K^{X,\omega^X}[\alpha_n], \tag{121}$$

with C a constant independent of the harmonic number.

To complete the estimate, we control the boundary terms with our degenerate T-energy $E^T_{\alpha_n}(\tau)$. It suffices to estimate each of the pieces of the weighted energy current

$$J^{X,\omega^X}_a[\alpha_n] := J^X_a[\alpha_n] + \frac{1}{4}\omega^X\nabla_a|\alpha_n|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\nabla_a\omega^X|\alpha_n|^2.$$

Noting that $\eta^a = (1-\mu)^{-1/2}\partial_t$, we compute

$$J^X_a[\alpha_n]\eta^a = f(1-\mu)^{-1/2}\not\nabla_t\alpha_n\cdot\not\nabla_{r_*}\alpha_n = f(1-\mu)^{1/2}\not\nabla_t\alpha_n\cdot\not\nabla_r\alpha_n,$$

such that

$$\Big|\int_{\{t=\tau\}} J^X_a[\alpha_n]\eta^a\Big| \leq C\int_{\{t=\tau\}} \big[|\not\nabla_t\alpha_n|^2 + (1-\mu)|\not\nabla_r\alpha_n|^2\big] \leq CE^T_{\alpha_n}(\tau),$$

where we have used uniform boundedness of f.

For the second term, direct computation gives

$$\frac{1}{4}\omega^X\nabla_a|\alpha_n|^2\eta^a = \frac{2r^2-3Mr+3M^2}{2r^3}\left(1+\frac{M}{r}\right)(1-\mu)^{1/2}\not\nabla_t\alpha_n\cdot\alpha_n.$$

Applying Young's inequality, we deduce

$$\Big|\int_{\{t=\tau\}} \frac{1}{4}\omega^X\nabla_a|\alpha_n|^2\eta^a\Big| \leq CE^T_{\alpha_n}(\tau),$$

with C a universal constant.

As ω^X is purely radial, the third term in the weighted density vanishes upon contraction. Putting it all together, we bound the weighted energy flux by the initial T-energy; that is,

$$\Big|\int_{\{t=\tau\}} J^{X,\omega^X}_a[\alpha_n]\eta^a\Big| \leq CE^T_{\alpha_n}(\tau) = CE^T_{\alpha_n}(\tau'), \tag{122}$$

utilizing as well conservation of the T-energy.

Applying Stokes' theorem, we obtain the following integrated decay estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\{\tau'\leq t\leq\tau\}} &\left[\frac{1}{r^2}|\not\nabla_{r_*}\alpha_n|^2 + \frac{1}{r^3}|\alpha_n|^2\right]\\ &\leq C\int_{\{\tau'\leq t\leq\tau\}} K^{X,\omega^X}[\alpha_n] \leq C\int_{\{t=\tau'\}} J^T_a[\alpha_n]\eta^a = CE^T_{\alpha_n}(\tau'),\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

with C a universal constant.

8.5. **The Quasi-conformal Multiplier Z.** The multiplier we make use of is the analog of the Minkowskian conformal Killing field Z [21], and is defined to be

$$Z := u^2\partial_u + v^2\partial_v = \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + r_*^2)\partial_t + tr_*\partial_{r_*}, \tag{124}$$

in either the Eddington-Finkelstein or Regge-Wheeler coordinates. Note that we use the coordinate normalization

$$r_* = r + 2M\ln(r-2M) - 3M - 2M\ln(M), \tag{125}$$

such that $r_*(3M) = 0$ on the photon sphere.

We define the Z-energy on time-slices $\{t=\tau\}$ by

$$E^Z_{\alpha_n}(\tau) := \int_{\{t=\tau\}} J^Z_a[\alpha_n]\eta^a. \tag{126}$$

Using the identity (113), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} K^Z[\alpha_n] = t|\mathring{\nabla}\!\!\!/\alpha_n|^2\left(-1 - \frac{\mu r_*}{2r} + \frac{r_*(1-\mu)}{r}\right) - \frac{tr_*}{2r}(1-\mu)\Box|\alpha_n|^2 \\ + \left(\frac{tr_*}{r}(1-\mu)V_n^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2}V_n^{(+)}div(Z) - \frac{1}{2}Z(V_n^{(+)})\right)|\alpha_n|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

As with the X multiplier, we apply integration by parts, encoded by a weight function ω^Z, to swap the d'Alembertian. With weight function

$$\omega^Z = \frac{2tr_*}{r}(1-\mu), \tag{128}$$

we calculate the weighted density

$$\begin{aligned} K^{Z,\omega^Z}[\alpha_n] = t|\mathring{\nabla}\!\!\!/\alpha_n|^2\left(-1 - \frac{\mu r_*}{2r} + \frac{r_*(1-\mu)}{r}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\Box\omega^Z|\alpha_n|^2 \\ + \left(\frac{tr_*}{r}(1-\mu)V_n^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2}V_n^{(+)}div(Z) - \frac{1}{2}Z(V_n^{(+)})\right)|\alpha_n|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

The first two terms have coefficients independent of the harmonic number, and are well known to be positive near the event horizon and near infinity. For the third term, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{tr_*}{r}(1-\mu)V_n^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2}V_n^{(+)}div(Z) - \frac{1}{2}Z(V_n^{(+)}) \\ &= \frac{4M}{r^4(6M+nr)^3}\Big(972M^4 - 324M^4n - 648M^3r + 702M^3nr \\ &- 162M^3n^2r - 324M^2nr^2 + 198M^2n^2r^2 - 24M^2n^3r^2 \\ &- 57Mn^2r^3 + 26Mn^3r^3 - 3n^2r^4 - 7n^3r^4 \\ &+ 2\ln\left(\frac{r-2M}{M}\right)\Big(108M^4(-3+n) + 18M^3(6-11n+3n^2)r \\ &+ 2M^2n(27-24n+4n^2)r^2 + 3M(3-2n)n^2r^3 + n^3r^4\Big)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

Note that the behavior of this quantity near the event horizon and near infinity is dominated by the logarithmic term, yielding positivity of the quantity in both regimes. We are able to choose radii $2M < r' < R' < \infty$ yielding the uniform control

$$\begin{aligned} &K^{Z,\omega^Z}[\alpha_n] \geq 0 \text{ as } r \leq r' \text{ or } r \geq R', \\ &\left|K^{Z,\omega^Z}[\alpha_n]\right| \leq Ct\left(|\alpha_n|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/\alpha_n|^2\right) \text{ as } r' \leq r \leq R'. \end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

With this and the integrated decay estimate for X in hand, we utilize the bootstrap scheme of Dafermos and Rodnianski [7] to obtain decay estimates for the α_n, collected below.

8.6. **Estimates for α_n.** In this subsection we collect various estimates following from the vector field multiplier analysis above. Although there are a variety of boundedness and decay estimates, we present only those relevant for our purposes.

First, we remind the reader of the relevant notation. Decay is expressed with respect to the foliation of spacelike hypersurfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau$ (81), characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= t + 2M\log(r-2M) + c_0, \text{ for } r \leq 3M, \\ &= t - \sqrt{r^2+1} + c_1, \text{ for } r \geq 20M, \end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

with the specification in the spatially compact region $3M < r < 20M$ and the choice of constants c_0 and c_1 made in such a way that $u, v \geq \tau$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau$.

We also need the initial energies

$$E_0[\xi] := \sum_{(q)\leq 2} \int_{\{t=0\}} J_a^N[\mathcal{K}^{(q)}\xi]\eta^a, \tag{133}$$

$$E_1[\xi] := \sum_{(q)\leq 4} \int_{\{t=0\}} (1+r_*^2) J_a^N[\mathcal{K}^{(q)}\xi]\eta^a, \tag{134}$$

$$E_2[\xi] := \sum_{(q)\leq 6} \int_{\{t=0\}} (1+r_*^2) J_a^N[\mathcal{K}^{(q)}\xi]\eta^a, \tag{135}$$

defined for a symmetric, traceless two-tensor ξ on the time slice $\{t=0\}$. Note that the sum is taken over multi-indices (q) of length q and less, over all Killing multipliers in $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem 19. *Suppose α_n is a solution of (97), smooth and compactly supported at $\{t=0\}$. Further, assume that α_n is supported on the harmonic associated with n (93). Then the Z-energy of α_n satisfies the uniform bound*

$$E^Z_{\alpha_n}(\{t=\tau\}) \leq CE_0[\alpha_n]. \tag{136}$$

Moreover, α_n satisfies the uniform energy estimate

$$E^N_{\alpha_n}(\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau) \leq CE_1[\alpha_n]\tau^{-2}, \tag{137}$$

and the uniform decay estimate

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |\alpha_n| \leq C\sqrt{E_2[\alpha_n]}\tau^{-1}. \tag{138}$$

on the family of hypersurfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau$ specified. Note that the constant C can be regarded as universal, i.e. independent of harmonic number.

In the interests of simplifying the metric-level decay estimates to come, we find it convenient to define the symmetric, traceless two-tensor α by specifying $\alpha := \alpha_{nm}$ at the harmonic pair (n, m). Summing the energy estimates above in $L^2(S^2)$, we have associated estimates for α.

9. Analysis of the Closed Solution

With the estimates in place for the gauge-invariant Zerilli-Moncrief function $Z_n^{(+)}$, equivalently the α_n, we complete the analysis of the closed solution by imposing the Chandrasekhar gauge, in which we prove decay estimates on the linearized metric coefficients of the closed solution. The analysis amounts to rewriting of these coefficients in terms of the $Z_n^{(+)}$ and applying the decay estimates of the previous section. At the outset, we assume that our closed solution h_1 is smooth and compactly supported away from the bifurcation sphere on the $\{t = 0\}$ time-slice.

9.1. The Chandrasekhar Gauge.

We begin by defining a constraint operator $\mathcal{L}$ that is related to this gauge condition.

Definition 20. Suppose a symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor h_1 on Schwarzschild is of the closed form (31). The constraint operator $\mathcal{L}$ on such a symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(h_1) = \frac{1}{2}\mathring{\Delta}H_2 + H_2 - H - (r^2 - 2Mr)h_{11}. \tag{139}$$

Lemma 21. *For any h^* of the closed form* (31)*, there is a co-vector X of the form* (47) *such that $h = h^* - \pi_X$ is still of closed form* (31) *and satisfies*

$$h_{01} = 0 \text{ and } H_A = 0,$$

and the initial gauge condition $\mathcal{L}(h) = 0$ at $t = 0$.

In addition, if h^* is supported away from the bifurcation sphere at $\{t = 0\}$, say in the radial region $r_0 < r < R_0$, there exist co-vector fields X_{I} and X_{II} giving the same reduction and initial condition above, with $h^* - \pi_{\mathrm{I}}$ supported away from the bifurcation sphere $2M < r_0 < r$ and $h^* - \pi_{\mathrm{II}}$ supported away from spatial infinity $r < R_0 < \infty$ at $t = 0$.

Proof. Let $X = G_A dx^A + (\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha G_2)dx^\alpha$. It follows from (48) that π_X and $h = h^* - \pi_X$ are both of closed form. We deal with the equation $H_A = 0$ first. From (47) we deduce that G_A is determined by $\tilde{\nabla}_A G_2 - 2(r^{-1}\partial_A r)G_2 + G_A = H_A^*$, or

$$G_0 = H_0^* - \partial_t G_2 \text{ and } G_1 = H_1^* - \partial_r G_2 + 2r^{-1}G_2. \tag{140}$$

The equation $h_{01} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\partial_t G_1 + \partial_r G_0 - 2\Gamma^0_{10} G_0 = h^*_{01}.$$

Plugging the expressions for G_A from (140), we deduce that

$$2\partial_t(-\partial_r G_2 + r^{-1} G_2 + \Gamma^0_{10} G_2) = h^*_{01} - \partial_t H^*_1 - \partial_r H^*_0 + 2\Gamma^0_{10} H^*_0,$$

or

$$-2\partial_t[r^{1/2}(r-2M)^{1/2}\partial_r(r^{-1/2}(r-2M)^{-1/2}G_2)] = h^*_{01} - \partial_t H^*_1 - \partial_r H^*_0 + 2\Gamma^0_{10} H^*_0. \tag{141}$$

This is a hyperbolic equation for G_2 which can be solved by integrating, subject to suitable initial and boundary conditions.

Rewriting the last component of π_X, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\pi_X) &= 2G_2 - 2r(\partial^A r)G_A - 2(r^2 - 2Mr)(\partial_r G_1 - \Gamma^1_{11} G_1)\\ &= 2G_2 - 2(r-M)G_1 - 2(r^2 - 2Mr)\partial_r G_1.\end{aligned}$$

Plugging in (140), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\pi_X) &= 2\left(r^2 - 2Mr\right)\partial_r^2 G_2 - 2\left(r - 3M\right)\partial_r G_2 + 2\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) G_2\\ &\quad - 2(r-M)H^*_1 - 2(r^2 - 2Mr)\partial_r H^*_1\\ &= 2r^2\partial_r[r^{-1/2}(r-2M)^{3/2}\partial_r(r^{-1/2}(r-2M)^{-1/2}G_2)]\\ &\quad - 2(r-M)H^*_1 - 2(r^2 - 2Mr)\partial_r H^*_1.\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to solve the equation

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi_X) = \mathcal{L}(h^*) = \frac{1}{2}\mathring{\Delta} H^*_2 + H^*_2 - H^* - (r^2 - 2Mr)h^*_{11},$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}&2r^2\partial_r[r^{-1/2}(r-2M)^{3/2}\partial_r(r^{-1/2}(r-2M)^{-1/2}G_2)]\\ &= 2(r-M)H^*_1 + 2(r^2 - 2Mr)\partial_r H^*_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathring{\Delta} H^*_2 + H^*_2 - H^* - (r^2 - 2Mr)h^*_{11}\end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

on the $t = 0$ slice, which is a second order inhomogeneous ODE. This initial condition preserves radial support of solutions supported for large radii ($2M < r_0 < r$) and small radii ($r < R_0 < \infty$) at $t = 0$, making the choice of co-vectors X_{I} and X_{II} possible.

The ambiguity of G_2, and hence of the Chandrasekhar gauge, consists of solutions of the hyperbolic equation

$$\partial_t[r^{1/2}(r-2M)^{1/2}\partial_r(r^{-1/2}(r-2M)^{-1/2}G_2)] = 0, \tag{143}$$

with the initial condition

$$\partial_r[r^{-1/2}(r-2M)^{3/2}\partial_r(r^{-1/2}(r-2M)^{-1/2}G_2)] = 0 \tag{144}$$

at $t = 0$. The general solution of this second order ODE is of the explicit form:

$$c_1 r^{1/2}(r-2M)^{1/2}\int_A^r s^{1/2}(s-2M)^{-3/2}ds + c_2 r^{1/2}(r-2M)^{1/2},$$

for $c_1 = c_1(\theta,\phi), c_2 = c_2(t,\theta,\phi)$ and constant $A > 2M$. In particular, we can resolve this ambiguity by specifying either of the co-vectors X_{I} or X_{II}, such that the normalized solution h has support in the large radii ($2M < r_0 < r$) or small radii ($r < R_0 < \infty$) at $t = 0$. We define the associated normalized solutions by

$$h_{\mathrm{I}} := h^* - \pi_{\mathrm{I}}, \tag{145}$$

$$h_{\mathrm{II}} := h^* - \pi_{\mathrm{II}}. \tag{146}$$

□

Definition 22. A symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor h_1 on Schwarzschild is said to be in the Chandrasekhar gauge if h_1 takes the form:

$$h_1 = h_{00}(dx^0)^2 + h_{11}(dx^1)^2 + (H\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} + \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\mathring{\nabla}_\beta H_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\mathring{\Delta}H_2)dx^\alpha dx^\beta \tag{147}$$

and $\frac{1}{2}\mathring{\Delta}H_2 + H_2 - H - (r^2 - 2Mr)h_{11} = 0$ at $t = 0$.

The previous lemma tells us that we can always reduce a closed solution to Chandrasekhar gauge. Of particular interest are the normalizations h_{I} and h_{II}, with support away from the bifurcation sphere and away from spatial infinity at $t = 0$, respectively.

9.2. **The Linearized Einstein Equations in Chandrasekhar Gauge.** Subsequently, we will assume a Chandrasekhar gauge has been imposed, with smooth linearized metric coefficients. Following Chandrasekhar's account [4], we use a modified Friedman substitution, defining

$$\begin{aligned} N &:= -\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} h_{00}, \\ L &:= \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) h_{11}, \\ T &:= \frac{1}{2r^2}H, \\ V &:= \frac{1}{2r^2}H_2. \end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

Decomposing into spherical harmonics (41), the closed solution takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\ell m} &:= -\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}\tilde{h}_{00}^{\ell m}, \\ L^{\ell m} &:= \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)\tilde{h}_{11}^{\ell m}, \\ T^{\ell m} &:= \frac{1}{2r^2}\tilde{H}^{\ell m}, \\ V^{\ell m} &:= \frac{1}{2r^2}\tilde{H}_2^{\ell m}, \end{aligned} \tag{149}$$

defined as functions on the quotient space $\mathcal{Q}$.

With our gauge fixing and harmonic decomposition, the behavior of the polar solution is contained in the four quotient functions $N^{\ell m}, L^{\ell m}, T^{\ell m}$, and $V^{\ell m}$. Subsequently, we will suppress the harmonic dependence in the four functions, using simply N, L, T, and V.

Rewriting the Ricci equations in terms of Friedman substitution (148),

$\delta R_{0\alpha} = 0$:

$$L_t + \frac{1}{2}(\ell+2)(\ell-1)V_t + T_t = 0, \tag{150}$$

$\delta R_{01} = 0$:

$$-\frac{2L_t}{r} + \frac{2(r-3M)}{r(r-2M)}T_t + 2T_{tr} = 0, \tag{151}$$

$\delta R_{1\alpha} = 0$:

$$\frac{r-M}{r(r-2M)}L + \frac{r-3M}{r(r-2M)}N - N_r - \frac{1}{2}(\ell+2)(\ell-1)V_r - T_r = 0, \tag{152}$$

$\delta G_{11} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{2}{r(r-2M)}L - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r(r-2M)}N - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(\ell-1)}{2r(r-2M)}V \\ &-\frac{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)}{r(r-2M)}T + \frac{2}{r}N_r + \frac{2(r-M)}{r(r-2M)}T_r - \frac{2r}{(r-2M)^2}T_{tt} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{153}$$

$\delta R_{00} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\ell(\ell+1)(r-2M)}{r^3}N + \frac{M(r-2M)}{r^3}L_r + \frac{(r-2M)(2r-M)}{r^3}N_r \\ &+ \frac{2M(r-2M)}{r^3}T_r + \frac{(r-2M)^2}{r^2}N_{rr} - L_{tt} + 2T_{tt} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{154}$$

the trace portion of $\delta R_{\alpha\beta} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\ell^2+\ell+4}{2}L + \frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell+1)N + \frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(\ell-1)V \\ &+ (\ell+2)(\ell-1)T + (r-2M)L_r - (r-2M)N_r - 2(2r-3M)T_r \\ &- r^2(r-2M)T_{rr} + \frac{r^3}{r-2M}T_{tt} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{155}$$

and the traceless portion of $\delta R_{\alpha\beta} = 0$:

$$-(L+N) - 2(r-M)V_r - r(r-2M)V_{rr} + \frac{r^2}{r-2M}V_{tt} = 0. \tag{156}$$

Proposition 23. *Suppose h is a closed solution of the linearized vacuum Einstein equations, smooth and compactly supported away from the bifurcation sphere at $\{t = 0\}$. For the normalizations h_{I} and h_{II} defined above (145,146), expressed in the Friedman substitution, the following equations hold:*

$$L + \frac{1}{2}(\ell+2)(\ell-1)V + T = 0, \tag{157}$$

$$T_r = -\frac{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)}{2r}V - \frac{2r-5M}{r(r-2M)}T. \tag{158}$$

Proof. The equation (150) and the initial constraint $\mathcal{L}(h) = 0$ at $t = 0$ yield (157):

$$L + \frac{1}{2}(\ell+2)(\ell-1)V + T = 0. \tag{159}$$

Integrating (151), we deduce

$$T_r = -\frac{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)}{2r}V - \frac{2r-5M}{r(r-2M)}T + \frac{1}{2}R_2(r), \tag{160}$$

with R_2 an as yet unspecified radial function.

Rewriting (153) and (152) respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_r =& \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2(r-2M)}N + \frac{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)(\ell(\ell+1)r-2M)}{4r(r-2M)}V \\ &+ \frac{\ell(\ell+1)r^2 - 2(\ell^2+\ell+3)Mr + 10M^2}{2r(r-2M)^2}T + \frac{r^3}{(r-2M)^2}T_{tt} \\ &+ \frac{r-M}{2(r-2M)}R_2(r), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\ell+2)(\ell-1)V_r =& -\frac{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)r+6M}{r(r-2M)}N - \frac{(\ell(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(\ell-1))}{2(r-2M)}V \\ &+ \frac{-(\ell+2)(\ell-1)r^2 + 2(\ell^2+\ell-3)Mr + 6M^2}{r(r-2M)^2}T \\ &- \frac{2r^3}{(r-2M)^2}T_{tt} - \frac{M}{r-2M}R_2(r). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming these four equations, each of the last three equations (154), (155), (156) is equivalent to

$$\frac{(r-2M)}{2}R_{2,r} + \frac{(r-M)}{r}R_2 = 0. \tag{161}$$

Analysis of the radial ODE (161) and our assumption of support in large radii (h_{I}) or small radii (h_{II}) yield $R_2(r) = 0$. □

We have arrived at a situation analogous to that in Chandrasekhar [4]; namely, we have the two fundamental equations (157, 158), and the two quotient equations

$$\begin{aligned} N_r = &\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2(r-2M)}N + \frac{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)(\ell(\ell+1)r-2M)}{4r(r-2M)}V \\ &+ \frac{\ell(\ell+1)r^2 - 2(\ell^2+\ell+3)Mr + 10M^2}{2r(r-2M)^2}T + \frac{r^3}{(r-2M)^2}T_{tt}, \end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\ell+2)(\ell-1)V_r = &-\frac{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)r+6M}{r(r-2M)}N - \frac{(\ell(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(\ell-1))}{2(r-2M)}V \\ &+ \frac{-(\ell+2)(\ell-1)r^2 + 2(\ell^2+\ell-3)Mr + 6M^2}{r(r-2M)^2}T - \frac{2r^3}{(r-2M)^2}T_{tt}. \end{aligned} \tag{163}$$

Lemma 24. *For either of the normalized solutions h_{I} and h_{II}, the Zerilli-Moncrief function* (91) *takes the form*

$$Z^{(+)} = rV + \frac{r^2}{nr+3M}T. \tag{164}$$

Proof. We recall the definition of $Z^{(+)}$ (omitting the ℓm index)

$$Z^{(+)} := \frac{2r}{\ell(\ell+1)}\left[\tilde{K} + \frac{2}{\Lambda}\left(r^A r^B \tilde{k}_{AB} - r r^A \tilde{\nabla}_A \tilde{K}\right)\right]. \tag{165}$$

In the Chandrasekhar gauge, $\tilde{K}$ and $r^A r^B \tilde{k}_{AB}$ can be expressed in terms of L, T, V in (148), in particular:

$$\tilde{K} = 2T + \ell(\ell+1)V + 2(r-2M)V_r,$$

and

$$r^A r^B \tilde{k}_{AB} = 2(1-\frac{2M}{r})L + 2(1-\frac{2M}{r})^2[\partial_r(r^2 V_r) + \frac{Mr}{r-2M}V_r].$$

Plugging these in the expression of $Z^{(+)}$, one checks that all V_{rr} and V_r terms are cancelled, while L and T_r can be substituted using (157) and (158), respectively. Collecting the coefficients of V and T, we arrive at (164). □

Indeed, the decoupling of $Z^{(+)}$ can be verified directly using the equations above; see Chandrasekhar [4].

9.3. **Metric Coefficients in Terms of $Z^{(+)}$.** Briefly, we describe the procedure for extending our decay estimates to the linearized metric coefficients. At the outset, we assume that our closed solution h_1 is smooth and compactly supported away from the bifurcation sphere on the time-slice $\{t = 0\}$. Choosing gauge co-vectors X_{I} and X_{II} so that the normalized solutions h_{I} (145) and h_{II} (146) are supported away from the bifurcation sphere and spatial infinity on the time slice $\{t = 0\}$, respectively, we are able to show decay of the resulting closed solutions in certain radial regimes. Taking an

interpolation of the two gauge choices, it remains to consider a compact radial region, wherein we lose our diagonalization but are nontheless able to estimate the solution.

In expressing the metric-level quantities N, L, T, and V in terms of $Z^{(+)}$, we find it useful to introduce the quantities

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{nm}(t,r) := n(1-\mu)^{1/2}\int_{2M}^{r}\frac{(1-\mu)^{-1/2}Z_{nm}^{(+)}(t,r')}{nr'+3M}dr', \tag{166}$$

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{II}}^{nm}(t,r) := -n(1-\mu)^{1/2}\int_{r}^{\infty}\frac{(1-\mu)^{-1/2}Z_{nm}^{(+)}(t,r')}{nr'+3M}dr'. \tag{167}$$

In what follows, we continue our convention of suppressing harmonic dependence. As mentioned above, we can choose a co-vector field X_{I} in such a way that the normalized solution h_{I} is supported away from the bifurcation sphere at $t = 0$. For such a solution, the following pullback argument applies.

Using the expression for $Z^{(+)}$ in the Chandrasekhar gauge (164), we can rewrite the equation (158) as an equation in T and $Z^{(+)}$. Integrating, we find

$$T(t,r) = -\frac{1}{r^2}(nr+3M)\Phi_{\mathrm{I}}(t,r). \tag{168}$$

Substituting for T in the definition of $Z^{(+)}$, we obtain the relation

$$V(t,r) = \frac{1}{r}(Z^{(+)}(t,r) + \Phi_{\mathrm{I}}(t,r)). \tag{169}$$

With T and V, the algebraic equation (157) yields

$$L(t,r) = -\frac{n}{r}Z^{(+)}(t,r) + \frac{3M}{r^2}\Phi_{\mathrm{I}}(t,r). \tag{170}$$

Finally, using (163),

$$\begin{aligned} N(t,r) = -\frac{nr}{nr+3M}\partial_{r_*}Z^{(+)}(t,r) - \frac{n}{(nr+3M)^2}\left[\frac{6M^2}{r}+3Mn+n(n+1)r\right]Z^{(+)}(t,r) \\ + \left(M-\frac{M^2}{r-2M}\right)\frac{\Phi_{\mathrm{I}}(t,r)}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{r-2M}\partial_t^2\Phi_{\mathrm{I}}(t,r). \end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

We emphasize that the components N, L, T, V above are those associated with the h_{I}. The pullback is entirely analogous for components of the normalized solution h_{II} generated by X_{II}, with Φ_{I} replaced by Φ_{II}.

9.4. **Decay of the Φ.** Having written each of the linearized metric coefficients N, L, T, and V in terms of $Z^{(+)}$ and the Φ, it remains to prove decay of each. Decay of $Z^{(+)}$ is the subject of the previous section, and, as we shall see, these results also lead to the decay of the Φ. Note that we suppress the m-dependence, consistent with the estimates for the α_n.

From the previous analysis (136), we know that the Z-energy of the α_n through time-slices is bounded. By direct computation, we find

$$\begin{aligned} CE_0[\alpha_n] \geq E^Z_{\alpha_n}(\tau) &\geq \int_{\{t=\tau\}} \sqrt{1-\mu}\frac{u^2+v^2}{r^2}|\alpha_n|^2 \\ &= \int_{2M}^{\infty}\int_{S^2}(u^2+v^2)|\alpha_n|^2 dr d\mathring{\sigma} \\ &= \int_{2M}^{\infty}\int_{S^2}\frac{u^2+v^2}{r^2}|Z_n^{(+)}|^2|Y^{\ell m}_{\alpha\beta}|^2 dr d\mathring{\sigma} \\ &= \int_{2M}^{\infty}\frac{u^2+v^2}{r^2}n^2|Z_n^{(+)}|^2 dr \\ &\geq \int_{2M}^{\infty}\frac{u^2+v^2}{r^2}|Z_n^{(+)}|^2 dr. \end{aligned}$$

From this and the definition of the Φ (166) and (167), we deduce the decay estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi^n(t,r)| &\leq C\sqrt{1-\mu}\int_{2M}^{\infty}\left|\frac{Z_n^{(+)}}{r\sqrt{1-\mu}}\right| dr \\ &\leq C\sqrt{1-\mu}\left(\int_{2M}^{\infty}\frac{u^2+v^2}{r^2}|Z_n^{(+)}|^2 dr\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{2M}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-\mu}\frac{1}{u^2+v^2}dr\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{1-\mu}\sqrt{E_0[\alpha_n]}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{t^2+r_*^2}dr_*\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{1-\mu}\sqrt{E_0[\alpha_n]}t^{-1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{172}$$

decaying through the *time slices*. Note that this implies decay through the foliation (81) above.

9.5. **Decay of the Metric Coefficients.** We illustrate decay of the metric coefficients by considering L; the argument for T and V is entirely analogous.

Integrating on the unit sphere, we find

$$\int_{S^2}|L^{\ell m}|^2 \leq 2\left(\int_{S^2}\left|\frac{nZ^{(+)}_{nm}}{r}\right|^2 + \frac{3M}{r^2}\int_{S^2}|\Phi_{nm}|^2\right) \tag{173}$$

$$\leq \int_{S^2}|\alpha_{nm}|^2 + \frac{3M}{r^2}\int_{S^2}|\Phi_{nm}|^2 \tag{174}$$

$$\leq C\left(E_2[\alpha_{nm}]\tau^{-2} + E_0[\alpha_{nm}]\tau^{-1}\right). \tag{175}$$

Summing the spherical harmonics, we deduce

$$\int_{S^2}|L|^2 = \sum_{\ell m}\int_{S^2}|L^{\ell m}|^2 \leq C\sum_{\ell m}\left(E_2[\alpha_{nm}]\tau^{-2} + E_0[\alpha_{nm}]\tau^{-1}\right) \leq CE_2[\alpha]\tau^{-1}. \tag{176}$$

Such an L^2-estimate is also possible upon applying the angular Killing operators Ω_i; that is, an analogous estimate to (176) holds for $\Omega_i L$, $\Omega_i\Omega_j L$, etc. Applying Sobolev embedding on the spheres, we deduce the pointwise decay estimate

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |L| \leq C \sum_{(q)\leq 2} \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)}\alpha]}\tau^{-1/2} \tag{177}$$

through the standard decay foliation (81). Decay of the components T and V is similar. Overall, we find the pointwise decay estimates

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |L| \leq C \left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2} \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)}\alpha]} \right) \tau^{-1/2}, \tag{178}$$

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |T| \leq C \left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2} \sqrt{E_0[\Omega^{(q)}\alpha]} \right) \tau^{-1/2}, \tag{179}$$

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |V| \leq C \left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2} \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)}\alpha]} \right) \tau^{-1/2}, \tag{180}$$

through the foliation (81). Note that such estimates hold for either of the normalized solutions h_{I} or h_{II}.

The remaining component N is much less straightforward to estimate. Fixing radii $2M < r_0 < R_0 < \infty$, we are able to recover decay estimates for the normalized solutions in associated radial regimes.

9.5.1. *The region* $2M < r < R_0$. We consider the closed solution h_{I} (145) in the radial region $2M < r < R_0$. Rewriting the last term in the expression for N (171) by bringing the partial derivatives under the integral, applying the Zerilli equation for $Z^{(+)}$ (94), and integrating by parts, we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} N = &-\frac{n\left(9M^3 + 3Mnr(1+(-1+n)r) + nr^2(1+n-nr) + 6M^2(1+2nr)\right)}{r(nr+3M)^2} Z^{(+)} \\ &+ \frac{M}{r^2}\Phi_{\mathrm{I}} - \frac{M^2}{r^3(r-2M)}\Phi_{\mathrm{I}} - \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}} \int_{2M}^{r} g(r') \frac{Z^{(+)}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}(nr'+3M)} dr', \end{aligned} \tag{181}$$

where

$$g(r) := \frac{2nr^2 - 4M(-1+n)r - 9M^2}{r^4}. \tag{182}$$

The expression for N clearly consists of "good" terms in the radial region, with the possible exception of the last two, with coefficients blowing up at the event horizon. We can capture the troublesome behavior of these terms

near the event horizon in the analysis of the model quantity

$$\frac{1}{1-\frac{2M}{r}}\Phi_{\mathrm{I}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}}\int_{2M}^{r}\frac{Z^{(+)}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}(r'+3M/n)}dr'.$$

To estimate the model quantity, we note that $Z^{(+)}$ satisfies the decay estimate

$$Z_n^{(+)} \leq C\sqrt{E_2[\alpha_n]}v_+^{-1}$$

with $v_+ = \max\{1, v\}$, in the region $\{t \geq 0\} \cap \{r \leq R_0\}$. Moreover, we note the comparison

$$ce^{r_*/2M} \leq 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \leq Ce^{r_*/2M}$$

holds for small radii. For a point p on the quotient space, let

$$t_1 = t(p), r_1 = r(p), (r_*)_1 = r_*(p), \tau_1 = v(p).$$

We estimate Φ_{I} by

$$|\Phi_{\mathrm{I}}(p)| \leq C\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}\int_{2M}^{r_1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}}Z_n^{(+)}dr' = C\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}\int_{-\infty}^{(r_*)_1}\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}Z^{(+)}(t_1, r'_*)dr'_*$$

$$\leq Ce^{(r_*)_1/4M}\int_{-\infty}^{(r_*)_1}e^{r'_*/4M}\sqrt{E_2[\alpha_n]}\max\{1, t_1 + r'_*\}^{-1}dr'_*$$

$$\leq C\sqrt{E_2[\alpha_n]}e^{((r_*)_1-t_1)/4M}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1}e^{s/4M}\max\{1, s\}^{-1}ds,$$

where we have performed the change of variable $s = t_1 + r'_*$. Asymptotically in τ_1, the function

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1}e^{s/4M}\max\{1, s\}^{-1}ds$$

is comparable with $e^{\tau_1/4M}\tau_1^{-1}$, as can be seen by application of L'Hospital's rule. Hence for adequately large τ_1, the estimate above becomes

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{I}} \leq C\sqrt{E_2[\alpha_n]}e^{((r_*)_1-t_1)/4M}e^{\tau_1}\tau_1^{-1} \leq C\sqrt{E_2[\alpha_n]}\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)\tau_1^{-1}.$$

In the region $2M \leq r \leq R_0$, the last term can be estimated in the same way since

$$\left|\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}}\int_{2M}^{r}g(r')\frac{Z^{(+)}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}(nr'+3M)}dr'\right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}}\int_{2M}^{r}\frac{Z^{(+)}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}}dr'.$$

Thus

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau}|N| \leq C\left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2}\sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)}\alpha]}\right)\tau^{-1} \tag{183}$$

for the normalized solution h_{I} in the region $2M \leq r \leq R_0$. Indeed, the other three components L, T, V also satisfy this improved estimate for small radii.

9.5.2. *The region $r_0 < r < \infty$.* For the large radii, we consider the solution h_{II}. The analysis of N is much the same as the previous subsection. In particular, we can rewrite N as

$$N = -\frac{n\left(9M^3 + 3Mnr(1+(-1+n)r) + nr^2(1+n-nr) + 6M^2(1+2nr)\right)}{r(nr+3M)^2} Z^{(+)} + \frac{M}{r^2}\Phi_{\mathrm{II}} - \frac{M^2}{r^2(r-2M)}\Phi_{\mathrm{II}} + \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}}\int_r^\infty g(r')\frac{Z^{(+)}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}(nr'+3M)}dr', \tag{184}$$

with g defined in (182).

Now the only troublesome term is the last, with coefficient growing large as r approaches spatial infinity. Quadratic decay of g allows us to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}}\int_r^\infty g(r')\frac{Z^{(+)}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}(nr'+3M)}dr' \\ &\leq Cr\int_r^\infty \frac{1}{(r')^2}\frac{Z^{(+)}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}(r'+3M/n)}dr' \leq \frac{C}{r}\Phi_{\mathrm{II}}.\end{aligned}$$

Summing the spherical harmonics, we obtain a pointwise estimate for N:

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau}|N| \leq C\left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2}\sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)}\alpha]}\right)\tau^{-1/2}, \tag{185}$$

for the solution h_{II} in the radial region $r_0 < r < \infty$.

9.6. **Decay of the Interpolated Solution.** The difference between $\Phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{\ell m}$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{II}}^{\ell m}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{\ell m} - \Phi_{\mathrm{II}}^{\ell m} &= \sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}c_{\ell m}(t) \\ &= \sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r}}\int_{2M}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r'}}}\frac{Z_{nm}^{(+)}}{r'+3M/n}dr'.\end{aligned}$$

From the estimate of Φ, we have decay of the $c_{\ell m}$

$$|c_{\ell m}(t)| \leq \frac{C}{n}\sqrt{E_0[\alpha_{nm}]}t^{-1/2}.$$

Defining the function $\check{G}(t,r,\theta,\phi)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\check{G}(t,r,\theta,\phi) &= -\sum_{\ell m}\left(c_{\ell m}(t)Y^{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)\right)\sqrt{r^2-2Mr} \\ &= -c(t,\theta,\phi)\sqrt{r^2-2Mr},\end{aligned}$$

we construct an associated co-vector field

$$\begin{aligned}\check{X} &= -r^2\tilde{\nabla}_A(r^{-2}\check{G})dx^A + \mathring{\nabla}_\alpha\check{G}dx^\alpha \\ &= r(1-\mu)^{1/2}\partial_t c(t,\theta,\phi)dt - \left(1-\frac{3M}{r}\right)(1-\mu)^{-1/2}c(t,\theta,\phi)dr \\ &- r(1-\mu)^{1/2}\mathring{\nabla}_\alpha c(t,\theta,\phi)dx^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Denote the deformation tensor $\check{\pi} := \pi_{\check{X}}$. By direct computation, $\pi_{\check{X}}$ is seen to be in Chandrasekhar gauge, with corresponding Friedman substitution quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}N[\check{\pi}] &= -\frac{1}{2}(1-\mu)^{-1}\check{\pi}_{00} \\ &= -\frac{M}{r^3}(1-\mu)^{-1/2}(r-3M)c(t,\theta,\phi) - r(1-\mu)^{-1/2}\partial_t^2 c(t,\theta,\phi) \\ L[\check{\pi}] &= \frac{1}{2}(1-\mu)\check{\pi}_{11} = -\frac{3M}{r^2}(1-\mu)^{1/2}c(t,\theta,\phi) \\ T[\check{\pi}] &= \frac{1}{4r^2}\check{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}\mathring{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{(1-\mu)^{1/2}}{2r}\left(-\mathring{\Delta}-2+\frac{6M}{r}\right)c(t,\theta,\phi) \\ V_{\alpha\beta}[\check{\pi}] &= \frac{1}{2r^2}\left(\check{\pi}_{\alpha\beta} - 2r^2T[\check{\pi}]\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\right) = \frac{(1-\mu)^{1/2}}{r}\sum_{\ell m}c_{\ell m}(t)Y^{\ell m}_{\alpha\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the components of $\pi_{\mathrm{I}}, \pi_{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\check{\pi}$, we observe that $\check{\pi} = \pi_{\mathrm{II}} - \pi_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\check{X} = X_{\mathrm{II}} - X_{\mathrm{I}}$. For any $r_0 \le r \le R_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S^2(t,r)} L[\check{\pi}]^2 d\mathring{\sigma} &\le C(M,r_0,R_0)\sum_{\ell m}c^2_{\ell m}(t) \\ &\le C(M,r_0,R_0)\sum_{\ell m}\frac{1}{n^2}E_0[\alpha_{nm}]t^{-2} \\ &\le C(M,r_0,R_0)E_0[\alpha]t^{-2}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{S^2(t,r)} N[\check{\pi}]^2 d\mathring{\sigma} \le C(M,r_0,R_0)E_0[\alpha]t^{-2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S^2(t,r)} T[\check{\pi}]^2 d\mathring{\sigma} &\le C(M,r_0,R_0)\sum_{\ell m}n^2c^2_{\ell m}(t) \\ &\le C(M,r_0,R_0)\sum_{\ell m}E_0[\alpha_{\ell m}]t^{-2} \\ &\le C(M,r_0,R_0)E_0[\alpha]t^{-2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{S^2(t,r)} |\check{\pi}_{\alpha\beta} - 2r^2 T\mathring{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}|_g^2 d\mathring{\sigma} = \int_{S^2(t,r)} |V_{\alpha\beta}|_{\mathring{\sigma}} d\mathring{\sigma}$$
$$\leq C(M, r_0, R_0) \sum_{\ell m} n^2 c_{\ell m}^2(t)$$
$$\leq C(M, r_0, R_0) E_0[\alpha] t^{-2}$$

Being defined in terms of the decaying function $\check{G}$, each component of $\check{X}$ has the same type of bound in $r_0 \leq r \leq R_0$ on the L^2-norm on spheres as well. Hence, letting $0 \leq \eta(r) \leq 1$ be a cut-off function with $\eta \equiv 0$ as $r \leq r_0$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ as $r \geq R_0$, the deformation tensor

$$\pi_{\eta(r)\check{X}} = \eta(r)\check{\pi} + \eta'(r)\left(dr \otimes \check{X} + \check{X} \otimes dr\right)$$

decays in the same fashion. Defining the interpolated co-vector field

$$X := X_{\mathrm{I}} + \eta(r)\check{X} = X_{\mathrm{II}} + (1 - \eta(r))X_{\mathrm{I}}, \tag{186}$$

we note that

$$h_1 - \pi_X = h_{\mathrm{I}} - \pi_{\eta\check{X}} \text{ as } r \leq R_0,$$
$$h_1 - \pi_X = h_{\mathrm{II}} - \pi_{(1-\eta)\check{X}} \text{ as } r \geq r_0,$$

such that the L^2-norm on spheres of each metric component of $h - \pi_X$ decays through $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau$. Commuting with the angular Killing fields Ω_i and applying Sobolev embedding, as before, we obtain decay estimates for the linearized metric coefficients in this interpolated Chandrasekhar gauge.

Theorem 25. *Suppose h_1 is a closed solution of the linearized vacuum Einstein equations* (1)*, with support in $\ell \geq 2$. Further, assume that h_1 is smooth and compactly supported away from the bifurcation sphere on $\{t = 0\}$. Normalizing h_1 by the co-vector* (186)*,*

$$h = h_1 - \pi_X,$$

such that h is in the interpolated Chandrasekhar gauge, the linearized metric coefficients of h satisfy the spacetime decay estimate

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |h|_g \leq C \left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2} \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)}\alpha]} \right) \tau^{-1/2}. \tag{187}$$

through the decay foliation (81)*.*

Moreover, in the radially compact region $2M < r < R_0$, we have the improvement

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |h|_g \leq C \left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2} \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)}\alpha]} \right) \tau^{-1}. \tag{188}$$

10. Proof of Theorem 2

In this final section, we combine the results of Sections 6-9, on the analysis of the closed and co-closed portions, to obtain decay estimates of the linearized metric coefficients of a suitable normalization of $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$.

Theorem 26. *Suppose $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$ is a solution of the linearized vacuum Einstein equations* (1)*, with support in $\ell \geq 2$. Moreover, assume that $\delta g^{\ell\geq 2}$ is smooth and compactly supported away from the bifurcation sphere on the time-slice $\{t = 0\}$. Then, there exists a smooth co-vector $X^{\ell\geq 2}$ such that*

$$\delta g^{\ell\geq 2} = \pi_{X^{\ell\geq 2}} + \widehat{\delta g}^{\ell\geq 2}, \tag{189}$$

with the gauge-normalized solution $\widehat{\delta g}^{\ell\geq 2}$ satisfying the uniform decay estimate

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |\widehat{\delta g}^{\ell\geq 2}|_g \leq C \left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2} \left(\sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} Q_{r_*}]} + \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} P]} + \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} \alpha]} \right) \right) \tau^{-1/2} \tag{190}$$

through the decay foliation (81)*.*

Proof. We define

$$X^{\ell\geq 2} := G + X, \tag{191}$$

with G and X co-vectors from Lemma 17 and (186), respectively imposing the Regge-Wheeler gauge on the co-closed portion and the interpolated Chandrasekhar gauge on the closed portion. Combining Theorems 25 and 18, the difference

$$\widehat{\delta g}^{\ell\geq 2} := \delta g^{\ell\geq 2} - \pi_{X^{\ell\geq 2}} \tag{192}$$

satisfies the decay estimate

$$\sup_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau} |\widehat{\delta g}^{\ell\geq 2}|_g \leq C \left(\sum_{(q)\leq 2} \left(\sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} Q_{r_*}]} + \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} P]} + \sqrt{E_2[\Omega^{(q)} \alpha]} \right) \right) \tau^{-1/2}.$$

□

For more refined decay estimates of the closed and co-closed portions, and their associated linearized metric coefficients, we refer the reader to Theorems 25 and 18.

Appendix A. Symmetric traceless two-tensors on S^2

In the appendix, several calculations regarding symmetric traceless two-tensors on the unit sphere are provided. The same analysis applies to functions and tensors defined on a spherically symmetric spacetime with respect to the operator $\mathring{\nabla}$ (17) and the associated angular Laplace operator $\mathring{\Delta}$ defined in Section 3.1.

Consider the standard unit sphere S^2 with standard metric σ_{ab} and standard area form ϵ_{ab}, where $u^a, a = 1, 2$ is a local coordinate system on S^2.

We need the following formula on S^2 for one-form and (0, 2)-tensors

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_a\nabla_b - \nabla_b\nabla_a)T_c &= \delta_{ac}T_b - \delta_{bc}T_a,\\ (\nabla_a\nabla_b - \nabla_b\nabla_a)T_{cd} &= \delta_{ac}T_{bd} - \delta_{bc}T_{ad} + \delta_{ad}T_{cb} - \delta_{bd}T_{ca}.\end{aligned} \tag{193}$$

Proposition 27. *Let S_{ab} be a symmetric traceless two-tensor on S^2.*

(1) Then there exists a one-form p_a such that

$$S_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_b p_a + \nabla_a p_b - (\nabla^c p_c)\sigma_{ab}). \tag{194}$$

(2) If $\nabla^a S_{ab}$ is closed, then p_a is closed and there exists a function f such that

$$S_{ab} = \nabla_a\nabla_b f - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ab}\Delta f,$$

and $\nabla^a S_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_b(\Delta f + 2f), \nabla^b\nabla^a S_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\Delta f + 2f)$.

(3) If $\nabla^a S_{ab}$ is co-closed, then there exists a function g such that

$$S_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_a^c\nabla_b\nabla_c g + \epsilon_b^c\nabla_a\nabla_c g),$$

and $\epsilon^{cb}\nabla_c\nabla^a S_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\Delta g + 2g)$.

In case (2) above, suppose f is a function of θ only, then $S_{ab} = \nabla_a\nabla_b f - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ab}\Delta f$ is proportional to $d\theta^2 - \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$ by a function of θ. On the other hand, in case (3) above, suppose g is a function of θ only, then $S_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_a^c\nabla_b\nabla_c g + \epsilon_b^c\nabla_a\nabla_c g)$ is proportional to $\sin\theta d\theta d\phi$ by a function of θ.

Proof. Since there is no harmonic one-form on S^2, by Hodge decomposition, any one-form p_b can be written as $p_b = \nabla_b f + \epsilon_b^c\nabla_c g$ for two functions f and g on S^2. We claim that (194) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Delta(\Delta f + 2f) &= \nabla^b\nabla^a S_{ab},\\ \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\Delta g + 2g) &= \epsilon^{cb}\nabla_c\nabla^a S_{ab}.\end{aligned} \tag{195}$$

Taking one more derivative of (194), we derive

$$\frac{1}{2}(\nabla^a\nabla_b p_a + \nabla^a\nabla_a p_b - \nabla_b\nabla^a p_a) = \nabla^a S_{ab}.$$

On S^2, we have

$$\nabla^a\nabla_b p_a - \nabla_b\nabla^a p_a = p_b.$$

On the other hand, $p_b = \nabla_b f + \epsilon_b^c\nabla_c g$ implies

$$\nabla^a\nabla_a(p_b) = \nabla_b(\Delta f) + \epsilon_b^c\nabla_c(\Delta g) + \nabla_b f + \epsilon_b^c\nabla_c g.$$

Altogether, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[\nabla_b(\Delta f + 2f) + \epsilon_b^c\nabla_c(\Delta g + 2g)\right] = \nabla^a S_{ab}.$$

We can now apply the operator ∇^b and $\epsilon^{db}\nabla_d$ to both side and obtain the desired equation (195). Let $X^i, i = 1, 2, 3$ be the three coordinate functions for the standard embedding of S^2 into $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is known that they form a

basis of the eigenspace of eigenvalue -2. The kernel of the operator $\Delta + 2$ on S^2 consists of exactly this eigenspace. In order to solve for f and g, we check that:

$$\int_{S^2} (\nabla^b \nabla^a S_{ab}) X^i = -\int \sigma^{ab} S_{ab} X^i = 0,$$

and

$$\int_{S^2} (\epsilon^{cb} \nabla_c \nabla^a S_{ab}) X^i = \int \epsilon^{ab} S_{ab} X^i = 0,$$

because S_{ab} is symmetric and trace-free. Therefore both f and g can be solved and the ambiguity consists exactly of the first eigenfunctions X^i and constant functions. □

Proposition 28. *If f is an eigenfunction on S^2 with $\Delta f = -\lambda f$, then both f_a and $\epsilon_a^c f_c$ are eigen-one-forms with $\nabla^a \nabla_a f_b = (-\lambda + 1) f_b$.*

Proof. We use the formula

$$\nabla^a \nabla_b p_a - \nabla_b \nabla^a p_a = p_b$$

for any one-form. □

Proposition 29. *If p_a is an eigen-one-form on S^2 with $\nabla^c \nabla_c p_a = (-\lambda + 1) p_a$, then $S_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_b p_a + \nabla_a p_b - (\nabla^c p_c)\sigma_{ab})$ is an eigen-symmetric-traceless-two-tensor and*

$$\nabla^c \nabla_c S_{ab} = (-\lambda + 4) S_{ab}.$$

References

[1] S. Aksteiner, L. Andersson, T. Bäckdahl, A. G. Shah, and B. F. Whiting. Gauge-invariant perturbations of Schwarzschild spacetime. *arXiv preprint*, 2016. arXiv.1611.08291.

[2] L. Andersson and P. Blue. Hidden symmetries and decay for the wave equation on the Kerr spacetime. *arXiv preprint*, 2009. arXiv.0908.2265.

[3] P. Blue and J. Sterbenz. Uniform decay of local energy and the semi-linear wave equation on Schwarzschild space. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 268(2):481–504, 2006.

[4] S. Chandrasekhar. *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*. Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. Reprint of the 1992 edition.

[5] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman. *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*, volume 41 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[6] M. Dafermos, G. Holzegel, and I. Rodnianski. The linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution to gravitational perturbations. *arXiV preprint*, 2016. arXiv: 1601.06467.

[7] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski. The red-shift effect and radiation decay on black hole spacetimes. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 62(7):859–919, 2009.

[8] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski. Lectures on black holes and linear waves. In *Evolution equations*, volume 17 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 97–205. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.

[9] M. Dafermos, I. Rodnianski, and Y. Shlapentokh-Rothman. Decay for solutions of the wave equation on Kerr exterior spacetimes III: The full subextremal case $|a| < M$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 183(3):787–913, 2016.

[10] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller, and S.-T. Yau. Decay of solutions of the wave equation in the Kerr geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 264(2):465–503, 2006.

[11] F. Finster and J. Smoller. Decay of solutions of the Teukkolsky equation for higher spin in the Schwarzschild geometry. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys*, 13(1):71–110, 2009.
[12] F. Finster and J. Smoller. Linear Stability of the Non-Extreme Kerr Black Hole. *arXiv preprint*, 2016. arXiv.1606.08005.
[13] P.-K. Hung and J. Keller. The linear stability of Schwarzschild spacetime subject to axial perturbations. *arXiv preprint*, 2016. arXiv.1610.08547.
[14] B. S. Kay and R. M. Wald. Linear stability of Schwarzschild under perturbations which are nonvanishing on the bifurcation 2-sphere. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 4(4):893–898, 1987.
[15] M. D. Kruskal. Maximal extension of Schwarzschild metric. *Phys. Rev. (2)*, 119:1743–1745, 1960.
[16] I. Ł aba and A. Soffer. Global existence and scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on Schwarzschild manifolds. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 72(4):274–294, 1999.
[17] J. Luk. Improved decay for solutions to the linear wave equation on a Schwarzschild black hole. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 11(5):805–880, 2010.
[18] K. Martel and E. Poisson. Gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime: a practical covariant and gauge-invariant formalism. *Phys. Rev. D (3)*, 71(10), 2005.
[19] J. Marzuola, J. Metcalfe, D. Tataru, and M. Tohaneanu. Strichartz estimates on Schwarzschild black hole backgrounds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 293(1):37–83, 2010.
[20] V. Moncrief. Gravitational perturbations of spherically symmetric systems. I. The exterior problem. *Ann. Physics*, 88:323–342, 1974.
[21] C. S. Morawetz. The limiting amplitude principle. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 15:349–361, 1962.
[22] C. S. Morawetz. Time decay for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A*, 306:291–296, 1968.
[23] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler. Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity. *Phys. Rev. (2)*, 108:1063–1069, 1957.
[24] D. Tataru and M. Tohaneanu. A local energy estimate on Kerr black hole backgrounds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (2):248–292, 2011.
[25] S. A. Teukolsky. Perturbations of a rotating black hole. I. Fundamental equations for gravitational electromagnetic and neutrino field perturbations. *Astrophys. J.*, 185:635–647, 1973.
[26] C. V. Vishveshwara. Stability of the Schwarzschild metric. *Phys. Rev.*, D1:2870–2879, 1970.
[27] R. M. Wald. On perturbations of a Kerr black hole. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 14(10):1453–1461, 1973.
[28] R. M. Wald. Construction of Solutions of Gravitational, Electromagnetic, Or Other Perturbation Equations from Solutions of Decoupled Equations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 41:203–206, 1978.
[29] R. M. Wald. *General Relativity*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1984.
[30] B. F. Whiting and L. R. Price. Metric reconstruction from Weyl scalars. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 22(15):S589–S604, 2005.
[31] F. J. Zerilli. Effective potential for even-parity Regge-Wheeler gravitation perturbation equations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 24:737–738, 1970.

Pei-Ken Hung, Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, USA
E-mail address: pkhung@math.columbia.edu

Jordan Keller, Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, USA
E-mail address: keller@math.columbia.edu

Mu-Tao Wang, Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, USA
E-mail address: mtwang@math.columbia.edu